

STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY

Proteorhodopsin insights into the molecular mechanism of vectorial proton transport

Sergey Bukhdruker^{1†‡}, Ivan Gushchin^{1†}, Vitaly Shevchenko^{1†}, Kirill Kovalev^{2†}, Vitaly Polovinkin³, Fedor Tsybrov¹, Roman Astashkin^{4§}, Alexey Alekseev^{1¶}, Anatoly Mikhaylov¹, Sjarhei Bukhalovich¹, Dmitry Bratanov⁴, Yury Ryzhykau^{1,5}, Daria Kuklina^{1,6}, Nicolas Caramello^{7‡}, Tatyana Rokitskaya⁸, Yuri Antonenko⁸, Maksim Rulev⁹, Chavdar Stoev⁴, Dmitrii Zabelskii¹⁰, Ekaterina Round¹⁰, Andrey Rogachev¹, Valentin Borshchevskiy^{1,5}, Rohit Ghai¹¹, Gleb Bourenkov², Mahel Zeghouf¹², Jacqueline Cherfils¹², Martin Engelhard¹³, Igor Chizhov¹⁴, Francisco Rodriguez-Valera^{1,15}, Ernst Bamberg¹⁶, Valentin Gordeliy^{4*}

Bacterial proton pumps, proteorhodopsins (PRs), are a major group of light-driven membrane proteins found in marine bacteria. They are functionally and structurally distinct from archaeal and eukaryotic proton pumps. To elucidate the proton transfer mechanism by PRs and understand the differences to nonbacterial pumps on a molecular level, high-resolution structures of PRs' functional states are needed. In this work, we have determined atomic-resolution structures of MAR, a PR from marine actinobacteria, in various functional states, notably the challenging late O intermediate state. These data and information from recent atomic-resolution structures on an archaeal outward proton pump bacteriorhodopsin and bacterial inward proton pump xenorhodopsin allow for deducing key universal elements for light-driven proton pumping. First, long hydrogen-bonded chains characterize proton pathways. Second, short hydrogen bonds allow proton storage and inhibit their backflow. Last, the retinal Schiff base is the active proton donor and acceptor to and from hydrogen-bonded chains.

INTRODUCTION

Proteorhodopsins (PRs) are the largest family of light-driven bacterial proton pumps that enable their hosts to perform phototrophic metabolism (1, 2). They are evolutionarily distant from archaeal (3)

and eukaryotic [the latter are similar to archaeal (4)] rhodopsin proton pumps, having specific structural and functional features. In PRs, in contrast to archaeal or eukaryotic proton pumps, the proton uptake from the cytoplasm precedes the proton release to the extracellular space, and the pumping is pH dependent (5). Also, PRs lack the proton storage (release) pocket characteristic of archaeal and eukaryotic pumps, such as the PRG group (Glu¹⁹⁴-Glu²⁰⁴) in the archaeal outward light-driven proton pump bacteriorhodopsin from *Halobacterium salinarum* [*HsBR* (6)]. Instead, a water pore in the extracellular part penetrates deeply inside the protein and approaches the conserved arginine residue corresponding to Arg⁸² in *HsBR* (7). Last, it was suggested that the primary proton acceptor in PRs is a conserved His-Asp pair instead of a lone Asp⁸⁵ residue in *HsBR* (8, 9). All these differences indicate that proton transfer by this abundant protein family may differ from what is established for archaeal and eukaryotic proton pumps (3). As PRs are the largest and ecologically important family of microbial rhodopsins (MRhs), which substantially contribute to life in the ocean and may play a critical role in global ecology and climate regulation, understanding the molecular mechanisms of PRs would be of fundamental importance. The differences between archaeal/eukaryotic and bacterial proton pumps might provide insights into the evolutionary aspects of the structure and function of the transporters. Whether the fundamentals of proton pumping are universal across all life domains is an intriguing question, the answer to which could help to elucidate possible common underlying elements. Understanding the fundamentals of proton transfer and identifying its key elements will likely promote unraveling the mechanisms for other types of proton transporters.

Two substantial obstacles prevented such an analysis. First, no structures of the photocycle intermediates of PRs are available. Despite 24 years of effort, even a high-resolution structure of the ground state of a PR under proton-pumping (high pH) conditions

¹Research Center for Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Russia. ²Hamburg Outstation c/o DESY, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, 22607 Hamburg, Germany. ³ELI Beamlines Centre, ELI ERIC, 252 41 Dolní Břežany, Czechia. ⁴Institut de Biologie Structurale J.-P. Ebel, Université Grenoble Alpes-CEA-CNRS, 38000 Grenoble, France. ⁵Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia. ⁶Laboratory of Structural Dynamics, Stability and Folding of Proteins, Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 194064 St. Petersburg, Russia. ⁷Institute for Nanostructure and Solid State Physics, HARBOR, Universität Hamburg, 22761 Hamburg, Germany. ⁸Belozersky Institute of Physico-Chemical Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia. ⁹Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Biomedical Centre, Uppsala University, 75124 Uppsala, Sweden. ¹⁰European X-ray Free Electron Laser GmbH, 22869 Schenefeld, Germany. ¹¹Department of Aquatic Microbial Ecology, Institute of Hydrobiology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic. ¹²Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, and Ecole Normale Supérieure Paris-Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ¹³Department Structural Biochemistry, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, 44227 Dortmund, Germany. ¹⁴Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, D-30625 Hannover, Germany. ¹⁵Evolutionary Genomics Group, Departamento de Producción Vegetal y Microbiología, Universidad Miguel Hernández, San Juan de Alicante, 03550 Alicante, Spain. ¹⁶Department of Biophysical Chemistry, Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

*Corresponding author. Email: valentin.gordeliy@ibs.fr

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡Present address: Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), Grenoble, France.

§Present address: Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Laboratory of Self-Organizing Soft Matter; Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven 5612 AP, Netherlands.

¶Present address: Advanced Optogenetics Group and InnerEarLab, Institute for Auditory Neuroscience, Else Kröner Fresenius Center for Optogenetic Therapies and Cluster of Excellence "Multiscale Bioimaging: from Molecular Machines to Networks of Excitable Cells" (MBExC), University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany.

remains elusive. Because the function of the light-driven proton pumps depends on small alternations within the active site that change the lengths (and, thus, types) of hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) and partially rely on water molecules and alternative conformations of the protein side chains (3), the data must be of exceptional quality. Second, a crystal structure of the last intermediate state, the O state, of any outward light-driven proton pump has not yet been obtained [here, it is important to note that the term “O state” in other MRhs can have a different meaning (10, 11)]. In all domains of life, the proton transfer in the extracellular part in such pumps proceeds upon transition from the O to ground state after the retinal is relaxed back to the all-trans state [see Supplementary Text 1 and ref. (12)]. The O state is not observed in the crystals of *HsBR*, possibly due to crystal confinement (13, 14). However, even the N state of *HsBR*, preceding the O state, is still under investigation because of the moderate resolution of the data (15). For this reason, it remains uncertain which pathways protons use to exit the pumps into the extracellular space at the last stage of the photocycle.

Here, we have functionally and structurally characterized a representative of the PR family found in a widely distributed clade of marine actinobacteria, *Candidatus Actinomarina minuta*, named MAR [marine actinobacterial rhodopsin or MacR (16)]. We show that MAR is a typical PR whose functionality depends on the pH of the environment. The 1.25-Å-resolution structure of the ground state of MAR obtained under proton-pumping, high pH conditions reveals pentagonal organization of the H-bonds in the retinal Schiff base (RSB) site, similar to what is known for *HsBR* (3, 17, 18). The structures of key functional intermediates of a PR, namely N, O*, and O states, were solved. They are of the highest crystallographic quality: The resolutions are 2.30, 1.41, and 1.09 Å, respectively. The data are completed by the structure of the M-like intermediate state solved at a 1.60-Å resolution. These findings highlight notable differences between bacterial light-driven proton pumps on the one hand and archaeal and eukaryotic ones on the other hand. In particular, for MAR, we show the active participation of both residues, His⁵¹ and Asp⁷², in storing a proton from RSB, which substantially distinguishes it from *HsBR*, where only Asp⁸⁵ is the proton acceptor (19). Also, in MAR, much less hydration of the cytoplasmic part is required for reprotonation of the proton donor Glu⁸³ during the N-to-O state transition compared to what is expected for reprotonation of Asp⁹⁶ in *HsBR* (15). However, fundamental features of the mechanisms remain common.

Our results, complementary to the recent data on archaeal outward proton pump *HsBR* (3) and bacterial inward *Bacillus coahuilensis* xenorhodopsin proton pump [*BcXer* (20)], provide a unique opportunity to “visualize” experimentally the complete mechanism of proton transfer through membranes and propose a general concept of proton translocation. Although these results are promising, it should be noted that neutron and/or subatomic-resolution x-ray crystallography is still necessary to resolve protons and hydrogens, which are key for understanding the quantum mechanical nature of proton transfer and signaling. Moreover, additional experiments would be helpful to elucidate the influence of lipid environment and protein oligomerization on the structure-function parameters of these transporters (21).

RESULTS

MAR is a representative of PRs

An MRh gene was identified in the assembled metagenome of a species of marine actinobacteria, *Candidatus Actinomarina minuta* (16).

The respective protein was named MacR (16, 22). We will call it MAR to prevent confusion with eukaryotic LR/Mac rhodopsin described previously (4). Analysis of the phylogenetic tree of available MRhs (fig. S1) reveals that MAR is a representative of a clade of proteins belonging to the broad family of PR light-driven proton pumps. The MRh superfamily comprises two major clusters that are linked to archaeal (cluster A) and bacterial (cluster B) origin (fig. S1) (4, 23). To avoid confusion, here and throughout the text, we use the term PRs only for light-driven proton pumps of bacterial origin (cluster B, excluding sodium and chloride pumps). Notably, sodium (NDQ rhodopsins) and chloride (NTQ rhodopsins) pumps are attributed to a different group of MRhs despite sharing a bacterial ancestor with PRs.

With its length of 220 amino acid residues, MAR is among the shortest and most compact MRhs, providing almost a minimalistic model for proton pumping. However, we should note that smaller proton pumps have been recently identified, such as Schizorhodopsin-4 with 202 amino acid residues (24). Detailed analysis of MAR's sequence in the context of the cluster B rhodopsins reveals that MAR comprises all the amino acid residues characteristic of PRs. MAR has a His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair, previously proposed as the proton acceptor (9), the proton donor Glu⁸³, and other residues, including Tyr⁵², Arg⁶⁹, Thr⁷⁶, and Asp¹⁹⁶, fully conserved in PRs (Fig. 1).

Similar to other PRs, MAR exhibited pH-dependent absorption spectra, with the absorption maxima at 512 and 525 nm when measured at pH 9 and 5, respectively (Fig. 2A). The calculated pK_a (where K_a is the acid dissociation constant) of the proton acceptor pair His⁵¹-Asp⁷² is ~7.4 (fig. S2A). pH measurements of the MAR-containing *Escherichia coli* cells and proteoliposome suspensions show that MAR is a light-driven outward proton pump (Fig. 2, B and C). The measurement of MAR-induced photocurrent on the planar bilayer lipid membrane (BLM) shows limited proton-pumping activity at low pH and increased activity at neutral and high pH (Fig. 2D).

The photocycle of MAR was measured by flash photolysis in the temperature range from 0° to 50°C in 10°C steps and at pH 5, 7.5, and 10 (figs. S2 to S5), as described in Materials and Methods. To better mimic the natural membrane environment and, as a consequence, avoid protein aggregation at high temperatures, we reconstructed the protein into nanodiscs. Measuring photocycles at different temperatures allowed us to obtain thermodynamic parameters of the photocycle (table S1), which could be used for better planning of future time-resolved and cryotrapping crystallographic experiments. The photocycle can be well described by irreversible first-order reactions between pure intermediate states and states comprising fast equilibria of two subsequent pure states (fig. S6). The photocycles are schematically depicted in Fig. 2E. At high pH, where MAR functions as an outward proton pump, two states with fast equilibria decay to form an M intermediate state in the microsecond range. The K intermediate is red shifted compared to the ground state, whereas the M intermediate is notably blue shifted, characteristic of the deprotonated form of the RSB. Two spectrally distinct late red-shift intermediates, N and O, follow before the protein relaxes back to the ground state. The photocycle at low pH, where no pumping is observed, differs substantially from that measured at high pH. It lacks the blue-shifted M intermediate state. Instead, a red-shifted intermediate called K* is observed. Last, the photocycle at neutral pH is a mixture of the two modes described above. Overall, the photocycle of MAR and its dependence on pH is similar to that described for other PRs, particularly green PR [GPR (25)]. It is important to note that although the measurements were performed in nanodiscs, a lipid-like environment, the




Fig. 1. Sequence analysis of PRs in the context of cluster B rhodopsins. Phylogenetic tree of selected members of Mrh families (4, 23). The sodium pump (NDQ) and chloride pump (NTQ) families are included in the analysis. The PR subfamilies, XR, actinorhodopsin (ActR), acidinorhodopsin (AcR), MAR, *Bacteroidetes* rhodopsins (BaR), marine euryarchaeal rhodopsins (MER), and proteobacterial rhodopsins (PBR), are labeled according to their abbreviations. Proteins discussed in the text are highlighted with red lines.

complex problem of the influence of the native lipid environment and protein oligomerization on the protein photocycle is beyond the scope of this work and requires further studies [see refs. (26–30) and review (21)]. In summary, the sequence alignment and the photocycle measurements confirm that MAR is a member of the PR family, which functions as an outward proton pump at neutral and high pH.

Crystal structures of MAR at atomic and true-atomic resolutions

To get insights into the molecular basis of PR function, we crystallized MAR using the in meso approach (31, 32). Several types of twinning-free crystals were obtained, namely orange form and rose form (figs. S7 and S8). They relate to different functional states of MAR, as described further here. The crystals are of high quality, providing atomic (M-like and O* states) and true-atomic-resolution

(ground and O states) structures of MAR at a resolution of up to 1.09 Å (in the case of the O state structure). Such data allowed us to analyze the key functional steps in PR function at the atomic level in the same manner as we reported for HsBR (3). Data collection and structure refinement statistics are presented in tables S2 and S3, respectively. Examples of the corresponding electron densities are shown in figs. S9 to S12.

Overall, MAR consists of seven transmembrane helices, A to G, connected through short intracellular and extracellular loops (Fig. 3A). A retinal chromophore is covalently bound to Lys²⁰⁰ of helix G. The fold of the MAR is similar to that of other PRs (7, 27, 29, 33–36). Helical C_α RMSD (i.e., root mean square deviation of C_α atom positions, calculated over residues belonging to α helices) between the MAR backbone atoms' positions in the ground state and those of blue PR [BPR (34)] is ~1.3 Å (fig. S13). Given the similarity of MAR




Fig. 2. Functional characterization of MAR. (A) Absorption spectra of solubilized MAR from pH 5 to 9 with steps of 1.5. Spectra are normalized for convenience. a.u., arbitrary unit. (B) Change in solution pH values upon illumination of MAR-expressing *E. coli* cells. The addition of carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP) strongly reduces the illumination-induced change in pH. (C) Change in solution pH values upon illumination of soybean phospholipid liposomes containing MAR. The addition of carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone eliminates the illumination-induced change in pH. (D) Photocurrents induced by illumination of MAR-containing liposomes adsorbed to a planar BLM in a buffer containing 10 mM MES and 10 mM NaCl at different pH values. (E) Scheme of MAR photocycle under proton-pumping (pH 10) and pumping-inhibited (pH 5) conditions. Small double-headed arrows between two states indicate a slow equilibrium between these states.

with other PRs, the putative proton translocation pathway comprises the proton donor Glu⁸³, the RSB pocket with the conserved His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair, and the proton release region (Asp¹⁹⁶, Tyr⁵², Arg⁶⁹, and Glu¹⁸⁹), which separates the RSB pocket from the extracellular bulk (Fig. 3B). Positions of these amino acid residues are generally similar to those observed in the structures of other PRs (7, 27, 29, 33–36).

The orange-form crystals reveal the ground state of MAR under proton-pumping conditions

First, we obtained a 1.25-Å-resolution structure of MAR in the ground state at pH 8.8 using orange-form crystals of MAR (absorption maximum at 515 nm). This is the first atomic-resolution structure of a PR under proton-pumping conditions, as the pH of the structure roughly corresponds to the average pH of the ocean photic zone of 8.2 (37). Unexpectedly, the RSB region of MAR in the ground state resembles that of *HsBR* (Fig. 3, C and D). Namely, Asp⁷², Asp¹⁹⁶, and three water molecules, referred to as w1, w2, and w3 (analogous to w402, w401, and w406 in *HsBR*, respectively), form a pentagon of H-bonds. Notably, His⁵¹ does not interact with Asp⁷². Instead, His⁵¹ is bonded to the carboxyl oxygen of the Leu⁶⁸ main chain via the w4 water molecule. This contrasts with most previously published PR structures solved under acidic or subacid conditions where pumping was inhibited (pumping-inhibited conditions). Figure 4 illustrates the differences in the conformations of the RSB region in PRs and *HsBR* at different pH values. In most structures, the His-Asp pair was H bonded. The corresponding Protein Data Bank accession numbers (PDB IDs) are 4JQ6 [BPR (34)], 7B03 and 8CQD [GPR (27, 28)], 4HYJ [*Exiguobacterium sibiricum* rhodopsin, ESR (7)], 3DDL [xanthorhodopsin, XR (33)], 6NWD [*Gloeobacter* rhodopsin, GR (29)], and 7YTB [Kin4B8 (35)]. An exception is a 2.3-Å-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure of

Kin4B8 [PDB ID: 8I2Z (35)]. Its active site configuration under proton-pumping conditions is similar to that of MAR. In the cryo-electron microscopy structure of GPR solved at a 2.8-Å resolution [PDB ID: 8CQC (28)], the RSB conformation reminds a superposition of both proton-pumping and pumping-inhibited states, which is expected for the structure solved at intermediate pH.

At the extracellular side of the ground state of MAR, Arg⁶⁹ is bonded to Tyr⁵² and Glu¹⁸⁹ (Fig. 3E), resulting in a chain of H-bonds (HBCs; Lys²⁰⁰-w1-Asp¹⁹⁶-Tyr⁵²-Arg⁶⁹-Glu¹⁸⁹) propagating toward a large water-filled cavity, leading further to the extracellular bulk. At the cytoplasmic side, the proton donor Glu⁸³ is oriented toward the RSB and is bonded to the carbonyl group of Lys²⁰⁰ through a single water molecule w5 (Fig. 3F), unlike Asp⁹⁶ in *HsBR*, which in the ground state is completely isolated from RSB in the hydrophobic cavity. Glu⁸³ in MAR is separated from the cytoplasmic bulk by hydrophobic residues Val⁸⁷ and Val²⁰⁷ (Fig. 3B). Ser⁴¹, adjacent to Glu⁸³, exists in two conformations: one bonded to Glu⁸³ and the carbonyl oxygen of Gly³⁷, with the other bonded only to the carbonyl group of Val³⁸ (Fig. 3F).

Overall, while MAR is remarkably different from archaeal and eukaryotic proton pumps at the extracellular side and near the proton uptake region, it shares a similar pentagonal organization of the H-bonds in the active site, pointing toward the latter's indispensability for transferring a proton from RSB to the proton acceptor upon the formation of the M state. This active site conformation is observed only for PRs under proton-pumping, high pH conditions.

The His-Asp pair is the primary proton acceptor in PRs, as revealed by the M-like state of MAR

The His-Asp pair is fully conserved in PRs (Fig. 1). It has been shown that both residues are involved in accepting a proton from




Fig. 3. Structures of the ground and M-like states of the MAR photocycle. (A) Overall structure of MAR. Hydrophobic membrane boundaries were calculated using the PPM server (116). (B) Proton translocation pathway in MAR. Key residues are shown as sticks. (C and D) Comparison between RSB regions in MAR and *HsBR*, respectively. For MAR, structures at high pH (ground state) and low pH (M-like state) were taken. For *HsBR*, the structures of the ground state [PDB ID: 7Z0A (3)], acid blue *HsBR* [PDB ID: 1X0I (40)], and the M state [PDB ID: 7Z0E (3)] were taken. It is worth discussing the difference between the Thr⁸⁹ conformation in the acid blue *HsBR* structure and the ground and M states. Our analysis indicates that this may be an error because, in such an orientation, threonine does not form H-bonds with its environment. Thus, no differences, besides retinal isomerization, were identified between acid blue *HsBR* and the M state *HsBR*. (E) Structures of the proton release region in MAR in the ground and M-like states. (F) Structures of the proton uptake region in MAR in the ground and M-like states. [(C) to (F)] Residues are depicted with sticks. Polar contacts are shown with black dashes. SHBs are shown with green clouds. SHBs have been assigned as described in Materials and Methods.




Fig. 4. Comparison of the RSB region in the structures of PRs and *HsBR* solved at different pH values. The His-Asp pair, fully conserved in PRs, is highlighted. H-bonds between His and Asp are shown with a dashed line. The absence of H-bonds is shown with a solid line.

RSB upon the formation of the M state (8, 9). The presence of the His residue in the RSB pocket increases the pK_a of the proton acceptor Asp to around 7 (8), which is the possible reason why PRs, unlike *HsBR*, are nonfunctional at low pH (30). Inhibition of proton pumping at low pH is also associated with the disappearance of the M state (5, 30, 38). Notably, PR functionality at low pH and the M state

can be restored by mutating His to Met residue, recreating the RSB region of *HsBR* (9, 39). In the absence of high-resolution structures of PRs at different functional states, the mechanisms of proton transfer from RSB to the His-Asp pair, proton storage within the pair, proton release from the pair to the extracellular space, and inhibition of proton pumping at low pH remain elusive.

The orange-form crystals that were used to solve the MAR ground state structure under proton-pumping conditions also grew at pH 5.2, i.e., under pumping-inhibited conditions. These low-pH crystals were visually indistinguishable from the high-pH crystals and were also in the same symmetry group, but their spectrum was notably different (fig. S7A). We determined a 1.6-Å-resolution structure from such crystals, which provided a molecular basis for the inhibition of proton pumping in PRs under acidic conditions and the role of the His-Asp pair in it.

In the ground state of MAR solved under proton-pumping conditions, His⁵¹ and Asp⁷² are not interacting. In contrast, the structure of MAR under pumping-inhibited conditions shows that His⁵¹ and Asp⁷² reorient to form a 2.5-Å short H-bond (SHB; Fig. 3C). This reorientation of the residues and formation of an SHB indicate that this pair acquired a proton from the acidic solution. Protonation of the group is also substantiated by the red shift of the absorption spectrum maximum from 515 to 524 nm for the high- and low-pH crystals, respectively (fig. S7, D and E). Last, this is supported by the similarity of the RSB region in MAR at pH 5.2 with that of other PRs also solved under low pH conditions (Fig. 4).

Reorientation of Asp⁷² toward His⁵¹ in the low-pH MAR leads to dehydration of the RSB region in a similar way to that shown for *HsBR* in the L and M states (3): Only one water molecule, w1*, remains in the region. Given the similarity between the structures of the M state and the acid blue (low pH) form of *HsBR* (Fig. 3D) (40), the structure of MAR at low pH can be a reasonable model for the M state in the extracellular part of the pump. The acid blue *HsBR*, low-pH MAR, and the M states of the corresponding proteins all have a protonated proton acceptor, explaining their similarity. Considering these rationales, we will refer from here on to the structure of the orange-form MAR at high pH as the ground state and that at low pH as the M-like state.

The observed structural rearrangements in the M-like state of MAR provide insight into the mechanism of proton transfer to the proton acceptor group and the proton-pumping inhibition at low pH. We suggest that with the formation of the M state, a proton is transferred from the RSB to the Asp⁷² carboxylate, leading to the formation of the His⁵¹-Asp⁷² SHB, where the proton is stored until the decay of the O state. The involvement of two residues in storing a proton through the SHB explains the increased pK_a as compared to *HsBR*, in which Asp⁸⁵ alone accepts a proton (5, 41). In contrast, under acidic conditions, the already protonated His-Asp pair cannot accept a proton from RSB, preventing RSB deprotonation and leading to the absence of the M state and proton pumping.

Analysis of the proton release region reveals differences between MAR and *HsBR* upon protonation of the proton acceptor. While Arg⁸² of *HsBR* flips to the extracellular part in the acid blue and M states, Arg⁶⁹ of MAR in the M-like state remains oriented toward RSB (Fig. 3E). It acquires some mobility, which is evident from the coexistence of two conformations. The flip of the corresponding arginine plays an important role in *HsBR* during the proton release to the extracellular space by a proton-release group (3) that is absent in PRs.

To summarize, upon the formation of the M state in MAR, the RSB donates its proton to the proton-accepting pair His⁵¹-Asp⁷², leading to dehydration of the region and the disruption of the pentagonal organization of the H-bonds observed for the ground state. Such changes are similar to those observed for the M state of *HsBR* (3), with minor nuances in the extracellular part associated with protein differences.

MAR functionality is limited in orange-form crystals by tight crystal contacts that can be overcome by spacers

In MRhs, the ion-transporting cycle is accompanied by the accessibility switch between the extracellular and cytoplasmic sides of the membrane to allow efficient ion release and uptake but also to prevent the backflow of the ions (42). The switch corresponds typically to large-scale conformational changes, as demonstrated, for instance, for the N state of *HsBR* (Fig. 5A) (15). Unfortunately, such large-scale rearrangements are often constrained/modified by the tight membrane sandwich packing in type I crystals of MRhs grown in meso (Fig. 6A). This is also true for the tightly packed MAR crystals (Supplementary Text 2).

When comparing the changes that occur in the M state of *HsBR* and the M-like state of MAR in their cytoplasmic parts, we see no notable rearrangements for the latter (Figs. 3F and 5B). For both transporters, RSB reprotonation occurs during the M-to-N state transition involving water molecules in the cytoplasmic part. In the ground state of *HsBR*, RSB is isolated from the proton donor Asp⁹⁶ in the hydrophobic pocket, and extensive hydration of the region is required for its reprotonation (Fig. 5B) (3). Two additional water molecules, w402' and w503', that interconnect Asp⁹⁶ with the carbonyl group of the retinal-carrier Lys²¹⁶ (Fig. 5B) are found in the region in the M state. These water molecules bind Asp⁹⁶ to RSB upon the M-to-N state transition, where the reprotonation of the latter occurs (15).

On the other hand, in the ground state of MAR, the proton donor Glu⁸³ is already H bonded with the carbonyl group of Lys²⁰⁰ via a water molecule w5 (Fig. 3F). Therefore, there is no need for such substantial hydration of the region for reprotonation, which could explain why we do not see any differences between the M-like and ground states of MAR in the cytoplasmic part. Another explanation could be that the M-like structure of MAR contains an all-*trans* retinal, while 13-*cis*,15-*anti* isomerization is expected for the M state in PRs (43–46). As can be seen from the comparison of the structures of the M and acid blue states of *HsBR*, hydration of the cytoplasmic part does not occur for the latter (Fig. 5A). Isomerization of retinal in the M state of *HsBR* results in the movement of the carbonyl group of Lys²¹⁶, which creates an additional space for water molecules in the cytoplasmic part. Thus, the structure of the M state would be beneficial in understanding the nuances of the RSB reprotonation in MAR.

However, the notable difference between the photocycles in crystals and in nanodiscs (Supplementary Text 2) suggests that tight crystal contacts might prevent the hydration of MAR in the cytoplasmic part. The problem can be addressed by adding a spacer, a water-soluble protein fused to the membrane protein to increase the distance to the nearest neighbor and allow for large-amplitude motions (Fig. 6A). With this in mind, we designed and used a MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} chimera (Fig. 6B). Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} is a mutant of a small guanosine triphosphatase Arf1. The chimera was crystallized, and the crystals diffracted to 2.3 Å. The conformation of the MAR molecule is similar to that in the ground state of MAR alone (helical C_α RMSD is 0.4 Å). Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} is located at least 7 Å from the predicted hydrophobic-hydrophilic boundary of a crystalline layer and forms only minor contacts with the AB and EF loops of MAR and the cytoplasmic part of helix G (Fig. 6B). This suggests that the MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} chimera could be used to study late photocycle intermediates of MAR that are inhibited by crystal contacts in the orange-form crystals.




Fig. 5. Proton uptake mechanism in *HsBR*. (A) Opening of *HsBR* to the cytoplasm. Structures in the ground state [PDB ID: 7Z0A (3); colored purple] and N state [PDB ID: 6RPH (15); colored teal] were taken for the illustration. Proton acceptor residues and retinal-lysine molecules in *HsBR* are depicted as sticks. Cavities were calculated using HOLLOW (115). (B) Comparison of the proton uptake site in the structures of *HsBR* in the ground state [PDB ID: 7Z0A (3); colored purple], in the M state [PDB ID: 7Z0E (3); colored yellow], in the acid blue form [PDB ID: 1X0I (40); colored purple], and in the N state [PDB ID: 6RPH (15); colored teal].

The opening of MAR to the cytoplasmic part is initiated upon the RSB reprotonation in the N state

To obtain the N state, we continuously illuminated the crystals of MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} with a 532-nm laser at room temperature and then flash frozen them in a 100 K cryostream. Microspectrophotometry of the crystal before and after the cryotrapping indicated the accumulation of the red-shifted intermediate ($\lambda = 550$ nm) corresponding to the N state of MAR (Fig. 6C). Using the crystals with the cryotrapped intermediate, we obtained the structure of the N state at the 2.3-Å resolution.

The ground and N state structures of MAR in the chimera do not differ considerably, with the helical C α RMSD of 0.6 Å. However, some notable changes exist, especially in the AB loop, where the C α -C α distance reaches 4 Å. The structural differences between the states can be described best as motions of two rigid subdomains (SD1 and SD2) relative to each other. Here, SD1 comprises residues Met¹-Phe⁸¹ (helices A and B and extracellular part of helix C) and Asp¹⁸³-Glu²¹⁷ (helix G). SD2 consists of residues Val⁸²-Gln¹⁸² (cytoplasmic part of helix C; helices D to F). When aligned by SD1 and SD2, helical C α RMSDs between both forms are 0.4 and 0.1 Å,




Fig. 6. Utilization of a spacer domain for obtaining the structure of the late intermediate of MAR. (A) Utilization of a spacer for "swelling" the crystal. (B) Overall structure of the MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} chimera. MAR is colored orange, while Arf1 is colored pink. The predicted hydrophobic-hydrophilic borders are shown as black bars. (C) Results of the cryotrapping experiment with the crystals of the MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} chimera. The spectrum before illumination with the 532-nm laser is colored black. The spectrum after illumination with the 532-nm laser at room temperature for 2 s is colored red. (D) Comparison between the structures of the MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} chimera before and after the illumination, resulting in the accumulation of the N state. Structures are shown as cartoons and are aligned in two possible ways. The first pair of structures is aligned using SD2 residues (while SD1 residues are colored orange and purple for the ground and N states, respectively). The second pair of structures is aligned using SD1 residues (while SD2 residues are colored orange and purple for the ground and N states, respectively).

respectively. This motion of SD1 and SD2 is even more prominent upon the formation of the O state, as it will be shown later, which lastly results in the accessibility switch to the cytoplasm (Fig. 7, A and B, and movie S1).

Electron density maps indicate that the retinal conformation in the N state represents the 13-*cis*,15-*anti* form, as expected from the spectroscopy data for other PRs (41). However, the resolution of the data does not allow us to build the retinal conformation using only structural data and to judge whether there are other sparsely populated isomers of retinal in the N state structure [which requires atomic or even true-atomic resolutions, like was previously shown for the ground states of archaeal proton-pump Archaelhodopsin-3 (47) and viral rhodopsin OLPVR1 (48)]. Similarly to *HsBR* (3), the RSB is stabilized with the H-bond to the adjacent threonine Thr⁷⁶ (Fig. 7C). The analysis of the internal cavities of MAR in the N state suggests that similar to the M-like state, one water molecule is found between Asp⁷² and Asp¹⁹⁶; however, it is slightly shifted toward the extracellular side. His⁵¹ and Asp⁷² are H bonded with a bond length of 3.0 Å. Asp¹⁹⁶-Tyr⁵²-Arg⁶⁹-Glu¹⁸⁹ residues form a continuous proton wire from the RSB to the extracellular bulk (Fig. 7D). Last, the configuration of the proton donor region around Glu⁸³ is identical to that found in the ground and M-like states (Fig. 7E), confirming that the accessibility switch has not yet been fully completed.

Thus, in the N state of MAR, the proton donor residue Glu⁸³ reprotonates the deprotonated RSB, initiating the opening of the protein to the cytoplasmic part. Glu⁸³, which is expected to be deprotonated at this point, remains H bonded to the carbonyl oxygen of Lys²⁰⁰, as it was in the ground and M-like states. This means that the residue is still isolated from the cytoplasmic bulk inside the protein, and further opening to the cytoplasm is required for reprotonation.

The rose-form crystals of MAR contain the protein trapped in the O state by crystallization conditions

As mentioned above, we obtained several crystal forms of MAR during our crystallization trials. While the crystals of MAR in the ground state appeared orange (maximum absorption wavelength of 515 nm; fig. S7A), we also obtained rose-colored crystals under different crystallization conditions (fig. S7B). These crystals had an absorption maximum of 530 nm, which is expected for an O intermediate state of MAR. The structure of MAR determined using the rose-colored crystals demonstrated global structural rearrangements similar to those observed for the N state, however, at a notably larger amplitude (Fig. 7, A and B, and movie S1). Helical C_α RMSD between the orange and rose crystal forms is 1.2 Å, but when aligned only by SD1 or SD2, the values are 0.8 and 0.3 Å, respectively.

Because of the accessibility switch, proton donor Glu⁸³, previously confined by helix B, becomes hydrated from the cytoplasmic bulk. At the same time, the extracellular part of MAR turns into an almost fully dehydrated region because of the FG-loop closure (a key role in this process is the formation of a hinge in helix F; see Supplementary Text 3 for details). It can be concluded that the structural and spectroscopic data of the rose form of MAR allow assigning this state to the late O intermediate. Apparently, the O state is trapped by the crystallization conditions in the rose-colored crystals.

Trapping of membrane proteins in different functional states by crystallization conditions has been reported before (49, 50). However, trapping the photocycle intermediates of MRhs without excitation by light is complicated because some of them are characterized by photoisomerized retinal in a 13-*cis*,15-*anti* configuration. MRh

intermediates having an all-*trans* retinal (e.g., like that in the ground state), on the other hand, can be trapped, as has been previously shown for the O state of the SyHR anion pump (10). Fortunately, the O intermediate state of PRs harbors a retinylidene Schiff base with an all-*trans* retinal (51), allowing it to be trapped in the dark under certain crystallization conditions.

Structures of the O state of MAR, solved at different pH values, reveal the proton uptake mechanism

Using the rose-form crystals, we obtained two different structures of the O state: under the proton-pumping (pH 8.4) and pumping-inhibited (pH 4.6) conditions at the 1.4- and 1.09-Å resolutions, respectively. We will refer to these structures as the O* and O states for clarity. These structures demonstrate no differences in the RSB and proton release regions and are similar to the N state structure in the corresponding regions (Fig. 7, C and D). However, they have remarkable differences in the proton uptake region (Fig. 7E). Compared to the structures of the ground, M-like, and N states, in the O state structure, an additional water molecule w6 is incorporated in the HBC between Glu⁸³ and the carbonyl group of Lys²⁰⁰. Glu⁸³ and w6 acquire two conformations. In the first conformation, Glu⁸³ makes an H-bond with Ser⁴¹ and w6. w6 is stabilized by Ser⁴¹ and w5. In the second conformation, both Glu⁸³ and w6 break their bonds with Ser⁴¹. Differently, in the O* state, Glu⁸³ is flipped to the cytoplasmic bulk. Two additional water molecules (w7 and w8) are wedged into the α-helical turn between Met⁸⁰, Leu⁷⁹, and Glu⁸³, forming a continuous HBC connecting the backbones of Lys²⁰⁰ and Glu⁸³. Ser⁴¹ stabilizes this chain.

We hypothesized that the structures of the O* and O states obtained with the spectrally indistinguishable rose-form crystals at different pH values could have a functional meaning in the MAR photocycle. Two events should happen upon the transition of the N state to the O state in PRs (52). First, the retinal returns to the all-*trans* isomerization. Second, the proton acceptor reprotonates from the cytoplasmic bulk. Because of a lack of structural data, these steps are generally assumed to happen simultaneously. However, given our structures at different pH values, we suggest that they may happen sequentially. First, upon forming the O* state intermediate, the retinal returns to the all-*trans* isomerization. A deprotonated, negatively charged Glu⁸³ is flipped to the cytoplasm, seeking the proton. Next, when Glu⁸³ captures the proton from the bulk, it becomes neutral and flips back toward the retinal, forming the O state.

To confirm our hypothesis directly, we performed cryotrapping of the O* state crystals using a protocol similar to that described earlier to obtain the N state structure. The isomorphous difference map clearly shows the flip of Glu⁸³ in the direction of the retinal (tables S4 and S5 and fig. S14). Such a flip is possible only after the accessibility switch reaches its maximum amplitude upon forming the O* state intermediate. In both ground and M-like structures and even in the N state, where the accessibility switch has not fully evolved, Glu⁸³ cannot flip to the cytoplasm as it is locked inside the protein (Fig. 7A, red dashed line). The N-to-O* transition unlocks the caged residue, allowing it to move back and forth between the cytoplasm and the inner cavity. The reprotonation of the proton donor Glu⁸³ proceeds during the spectrally indistinguishable O*-to-O state transition [because of the remoteness of the proton donor from the RSB, see ref. (53)] and involves the raking-like movement of the residue.

The proposed mechanism of the proton donor reprotonation in bacterial outward proton pumps is principally different from that




Fig. 7. Structures of the late intermediates of the MAR photocycle. (A) Structures of MAR in the cytoplasm closed (ground state, colored orange) and cytoplasm open (O* state, colored rose) states. Proton acceptor residues and retinal-lysine molecules are depicted as sticks. Cavities were calculated using HOLLOW (115). (B) Schematic representation of SD1/SD2-dependent alternating access of RSB to cytoplasmic and extracellular bulk solvents occurring over the MAR photocycle. (C to E) Structures of the RSB, proton release, and proton uptake regions, respectively, in the N, O*, and O states of MAR. Residues are depicted with sticks. Polar contacts are shown with black dashes. [(C) and (D)] H-bond lengths are given correspondingly for O*/O states. SHBs are shown with green clouds. SHBs have been assigned as described in Materials and Methods.

demonstrated for the archaeal outward proton pump *HsBR* (15). Namely, it was shown that in *HsBR*, the cytoplasmic halves of helices E and F, together with the EF loop, move by 9 Å, thus exposing the cytoplasmic internal part of MRh to the cytoplasm, allowing the direct reprotonation of Asp⁹⁶ (Fig. 5A). In MAR, there is also an opening of the cytoplasmic side for the proton uptake; however, in this case, helices A, B, and G are all shifted with smaller amplitudes of only about 4 Å at maximum. One of the possible explanations is that for the reprotonation of Asp⁹⁶ in *HsBR*, complete hydration of the entire cytoplasmic channel and the RSB region could be necessary. For MAR, the accessibility switch toward the cytoplasmic side is sufficient to provide Glu⁸³ with the necessary freedom to flip outside the protein for proton uptake. This hypothesis is in full agreement with recent molecular dynamic simulations (54) and investigations of activation volumes in *HsBR* and GPR (55). It should be noted that the difference between the amplitudes of changes might not be that severe (42).

Proton release mechanism of MAR

The structures of the O* and O states reveal one water molecule, w1*, in the RSB region, which displays two alternative conformations. In the first one, similar to the M-like state, the water molecule interacts directly with the RSB. In the second conformation, it is shifted toward the extracellular side like that predicted for the N state. Asp¹⁹⁶ forms polar contacts with Tyr⁵², Trp⁷³, and both w1* conformations. Arg⁶⁹ adopts a single conformation and is H bonded to only Tyr⁵² but not to w1* or Asp¹⁹⁶. Moreover, Arg⁶⁹ is bonded to Glu¹⁸³, developing an uninterrupted and single HBC from the His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair to the extracellular bulk. This HBC is used for proton transfer from the pair to the extracellular space on the last step of the photocycle (56, 57). However, we admit that small shifts of elements in this HBC, such as the flip of Asp⁷² to donate a proton to water w1*, are possible upon relaxation to the ground state (see Supplementary Text 3).

Unexpectedly, the His-Asp pair remains protonated in both O* and O state structures, as indicated by the H-bond (2.7 and 2.6 Å, respectively) between the residues. This observation contrasts with that of the ground state structure, in which the pair is sensitive to pH. This bond becomes a regular H-bond, instead of SHB, during the later steps of the photocycle. We suggest that the proton accessibility switch that happens upon the M-to-N-to-O* state transition increases the pK_a of the His-Asp pair by isolating the pair from the solvent. The accessibility switch allows it to stay protonated until the very end of the photocycle, even without requiring SHB. The difference in bond length on different photocycle stages could explain the controversy between the structural and computational data on the nature of this bond (7, 58).

The proton release to the extracellular bulk takes place upon the O-to-ground state transition when the accessibility switch proceeds for the second time, returning the pair's pK_a to the initial values. The accessibility switch back from the cytoplasmic to extracellular side is required and is the driving force for the proton release in MAR and other PRs. We should note here that if the His-Asp pair retained the SHB in this case, the switch itself would not result in the proton release from the pair.

To summarize, three major events proceed upon the rise and decay of the O state: First, Glu⁸³ uptakes a proton from the cytoplasm and flips back inside MAR toward the RSB; second, an accessibility switch back from the cytoplasmic to extracellular side occurs; and third, the proton is released from the His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair to the extracellular space.

These events lead to the proton translocation from the cytoplasm to the extracellular space and the end of the MAR photocycle, returning the protein to the relaxed ground state.

Mechanism of proton pumping by PRs

Structural and functional data presented here allow us to propose a mechanism for proton transport by PRs, taking MAR as an example (Fig. 8). First, in the ground state, the RSB is protonated, and the proton acceptor pair, His⁵¹-Asp⁷², is deprotonated, as indicated by the absence of the H-bond between the two residues. The RSB region of MAR in the ground state resembles that of *HsBR*, having an all-*trans* retinal, two aspartate amino acid residues (Asp⁷² and Asp¹⁹⁶), and three water molecules. The pentagonal organization of the H-bonds in the region is preserved between these very different proton pumps. Photon absorption by the retinal leads to its isomerization from the all-*trans* to 13-*cis*,15-*anti* isoform, resulting in the formation of the K state intermediate. With the formation of the M state, the proton is transferred from the RSB to the His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair that forms an SHB. It is stored in this H-bond until nearly the end of the photocycle. Next, upon forming the N state, Glu⁸³ donates its proton to the RSB, and the proton accessibility switch opens the protein to the cytoplasmic side (movie S1). This transition allows deprotonated Glu⁸³ to be reprotonated from the cytoplasm at the next stage of the photocycle. Simultaneously, the high pK_a of the His-Asp pair is further increased, which does not allow the stored proton to leave the protein ahead of time and restricts proton backflow. The subsequent step consists of two spectrally indistinguishable states, which we refer to as O* and O. Upon the N-to-O* transition, the retinal returns to the all-*trans* isoform. Next, between the O* and O states, Glu⁸³ is reprotonated from the cytoplasmic bulk. Last, upon relaxation to the ground state, proton release to the extracellular bulk occurs only when the proton accessibility is switched back from the cytoplasmic to extracellular side because of the return of pK_a of the His-Asp pair to the ground state values. The proton release pathway is constituted by conserved Asp¹⁹⁶, Tyr⁵², Arg⁶⁹, and Glu¹⁸⁹ residues, forming a continuous HBC. It extends from the His-Asp pair to the extracellular space. The proton release might require only minor rearrangement of the wire, similar to that shown for the extracellular side of *HsBR* in the M state (3).

The proposed mechanism is mainly based on the structural data on MAR and literature data on other PRs. Undoubtedly, applying various advanced techniques to MAR, such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (41, 59–62), quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (63, 64), solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (65), and time-resolved crystallography (66, 67), will allow us to clarify the details of proton transfer in MAR in the future. In particular, the study of early MAR intermediates, namely the K and M states, is essential to understand better the mechanism of proton transfer from RSB to the proton acceptor His⁵¹-Asp⁷², as well as the mechanism of RSB reprotonation by the proton donor Glu⁸³.

DISCUSSION

Strategies for obtaining the late intermediates of MRh photocycle

High-resolution crystal structures of the late intermediate states of rhodopsin proton pumps are essential to disclose the complete mechanisms of light-driven proton transfer through the membrane. However, obtaining such structures is still a great challenge. As an




Fig. 8. Schematic representation of the MAR photocycle. Proton donor (Glu⁸³), RSB (Lys²⁰⁰ and retinal), and proton acceptor pair (His⁵¹-Asp⁷²) are shown with sticks. Hydrogen positions are highlighted with blue clouds. SD1/SD2 subdomains of MAR, which are responsible for the accessibility switch, are demonstrated with rectangles and are colored according to the protein absorption spectrum in a particular intermediate state.

example, for the best-studied proton pump *HsBR*, only the N state structure of the wild-type protein at 2.6 Å is available at the moment (15). Two major issues complicate the determination of such structures. First, large-scale conformational changes associated with the late intermediates are incompatible with the crystal contacts (13). Second, even when these conformational changes appear, they usually result in considerable deterioration of crystal diffraction quality (68). In the latter case, it is sometimes possible to overcome the problem by reducing the occupancy of the active state, which was successfully applied in determining the active state structure of the sensory rhodopsin II (68). However, other approaches are required if the active state does not form in crystals, like in the case of the O state of *HsBR* (13) and the N and O states of MAR.

In the current work, we used two approaches to study the late intermediates of MRhs, which allowed us to obtain the structures of the N and O states of MAR, a representative of PRs. The first approach assumes that it might be possible to trap active forms of the protein by carefully selecting crystallization conditions. With this approach, we obtained the structure of the O intermediate state of MAR. The second approach involves the use of spacers, water-soluble proteins fused to the membrane proteins. The spacers lead to less

tight packing of the membrane protein layers in the type I crystals, thus allowing for larger conformational changes. With this approach, the N state was obtained by using the double mutant of a small guanosine triphosphatase Arf1 as a spacer. While fusion partner proteins were used previously to promote the crystallization of membrane proteins (69), they were not chosen to allow for large-amplitude motions. We believe that such approaches may facilitate obtaining the high-resolution structures of late intermediate states and help to push forward the structural studies of membrane protein intermediates by x-ray crystallography.

Hydrogen atom positions are required to reveal the quantum mechanical nature of proton transport

Visualizing hydrogen atoms would be a logical continuation of the study. The positions of hydrogens are essential to reveal the nature of H-bonds truly (70) and to see the proton transfer along the wires. To visualize the hydrogens, a resolution of less than 1 Å is required (71, 72), currently unavailable for MRhs, except for one example (73). Alternatively, neutron diffraction can be used (74), but its application to membrane proteins grown in LCP is still elusive because of the necessity of large crystals. Both problems still represent a great challenge.

General molecular mechanism of active proton transport and its universality

A large body of work was performed because *HsBR* became the model protein to study the molecular mechanism of the first step of bioenergetics [see ref. (12) and references therein]. Fifty years of extensive research resulted in considerable progress, which, combined with the present data, allows us to perform a general analysis. We will compare our results on MAR with recent similar atomic-resolution data on an archaeal outward proton pump *HsBR* (3) and a bacterial inward proton pump *BcXeR* (20). Each of these works provides information on the ground and major functional states. The brilliant quality of the data allows accurate determination of the lengths of H-bonds, which is important for distinguishing between different types of H-bonds. Last, these proton pumps are very different, representing outward and inward proton pumps. While outward proton pumps provide an electrochemical transmembrane proton gradient, which drives adenosine 5'-triphosphate synthesis (75, 76), the biological function of inward proton pumps remains a mystery (77, 78). If universal principles of proton transfer do exist, they might be deduced by comparing the data obtained for MAR, *HsBR*, and *BcXeR*.

To simplify the analysis of general mechanisms, we show in Fig. 9 only those parts of the MAR, *HsBR*, and *BcXeR* structures in the ground and intermediate states related to proton transfer pathways. Below, we will outline the patterns of proton transport, while a more detailed description is available in Supplementary Text 3.

First, long HBCs interconnect the RSB and the proton donor/acceptor amino acid residues at certain moments of proton transfer. These HBCs in all three transporters serve as proton translocation pathways, allowing the RSB to control the translocation. The conserved amino acid residues that make up these chains have finely tuned proton affinities and unique quantum mechanical properties, like the presence of π electrons, important for proton transport (Supplementary Text 3). In MAR, the HBCs are formed in the N state in the extracellular part and exist until the relaxation of the O state when the proton transfer from the His⁵¹-Asp⁷² pair to the extracellular space occurs. In *HsBR*, the chain is formed in the L state in both cytoplasmic and extracellular parts. It is used for RSB deprotonation to Asp⁸⁵ and signaling to the Glu¹⁹⁴-Glu²⁰⁴ pair for its deprotonation to the extracellular space. The continuous HBC is broken in the M state and restored in the N state for the reprotonation of RSB from Asp⁹⁶. Last, in *BcXeR*, the HBC from the RSB to Glu³⁴ is formed in the L state to translocate protons to the cytoplasm. In general, one can deduce that the HBCs are formed just when they are necessary for proton transfer.

Second, in such HBCs, some H-bonds are SHBs with completely different properties (like the common electron cloud and the decrease in hydrophilicity of the one-dimensional chain of waters; see Supplementary Text 3 for details) compared to the regular H-bonds. The data suggest that the SHBs serve for proton storage and block proton backflow. In *HsBR*, the proton storage pair Glu¹⁹⁴-Glu²⁰⁴ is placed close to the extracellular bulk at the end of the HBC. The SHBs keep high pK_a (3), allowing it to store the proton and preventing the backflow of protons. In MAR, the corresponding group at the same position is missing. Nevertheless, His⁵¹-Asp⁷², found at the other end of the HBC, probably plays a similar role, as discussed in the text. The situation is less evident for inward proton pumps. Resolution of our data on *BcXeR* does not allow us to clearly indicate SHBs between its residues in the proton release pocket in the

cytoplasmic part, but a couple of H-bonds, nevertheless, have boundary values [2.6 Å for Thr⁸⁸-Asp²¹⁴ and 2.5 Å for Glu³⁴-w804; PDB ID: 7ZMY (20)]. In contrast to outward proton pumps, in inward pumps, proton release occurs in the cytoplasm, where the local membrane concentration of protons is smaller than on the extracellular side of the membrane. Thus, there is no need to keep the pK_a of proton storage groups at very high values [which is in agreement with earlier data (78, 79)], and SHBs, if they exist in inward pumps, may play a different role.

Last, both HBCs and SHBs are controlled by the RSB and its accessibility switch. In the outward pumps, retinal isomerization upon photon absorption results in the reorientation of the protonated RSB from the hydrophilic environment provided by the RSB-proximal aspartates to the hydrophobic environment in the cytoplasmic part of the transporters. This leads to the deprotonation of the RSB to primary proton acceptors (Asp⁸⁵ in *HsBR* and His⁵¹-Asp⁷² in MAR), which happens along the transition to the M state. After proton transfer, RSB directly binds to the proton donor via HBC, as seen in the N state of *HsBR*. Deprotonated RSB controls the gradient and the injection of the protons from the proton donors (Asp⁹⁶ in *HsBR* and Glu⁸³ in MAR) to the HBC and the following reprotonation of RSB. Reisomerization of the retinal switches the protonated RSB back to the extracellular side of the proteins. Thus, the protonated proton acceptor finds itself near the positively charged RSB, resulting in its deprotonation and the proton transfer to the bulk. Proton injection and the following transfer along the extracellular part of HBC are controlled by a switch of the protonated RSB toward the extracellular part and its integration in the HBC. However, it is not only the retinal that changes orientation—the protein also rearranges itself, starting from the opening to the extracellular part (ground state to M state), then to the cytoplasmic part (N state to O state), and back again at the end of the photocycle. This important step controls the pK_a of the essential amino acid residues and also lowers a barrier for proton transfer by changing the residues' accessibility to bulk water. The reorientation is achieved through the cytoplasmic bulge, a special bend in the middle of the pumps, implemented differently in these transporters (see Supplementary Text 3 for details).

We assume that these elements or similar ones should also be present in other rhodopsin proton transporters. Being encouraged by the obtained data on these three transporters, we recognize that the knowledge of the key elements of the proton transfer does not yet mean that we completely understand their function on a quantum mechanical level. We do not know how exactly the protons move along HBCs and how they come and leave the SHBs. We also do not know whether the SHBs are low-barrier H-bonds (58, 80–82). We hope that our work will highly motivate and guide neutron crystallography and subangstrom-resolution x-ray crystallography, which will provide a better understanding of the fundamentals of HBCs and SHBs and their role in nature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Phylogenetic analysis and sequence alignment

The MRh phylogenetic tree was constructed using 392 MRh sequences aligned using MAFFT-linsi (83), and the maximum likelihood phylogeny was constructed using iqtree2 (84) with automatic model selection (85), ultrafast bootstraps, and SH-aLRT tests [-bb 1000 -alrt 1000; (86)].




Fig. 9. Universality of the mechanism of proton transfer in different proton transporters. (A) MAR, a representative of bacterial proton pumps. (B) *HsBR*, a representative of archaeal proton pumps [PDB IDs: 7Z0A, 7Z0D, and 7Z0E (3) and 6RPH (15) for the ground, L, M, and N states, respectively]. (C) *BcXeR*, a representative of bacterial inward proton pumps [PDB IDs: 7ZMY, 7ZN3, and 7ZN0 (20) for the ground, L, and M states, respectively]. Primary residues and water molecules involved in proton transportation are shown as sticks and spheres, respectively. HBCs are shown as dashed lines and are colored yellow. HBCs that are involved in the particular step of proton transportation are colored green. Interruptions of HBCs are shown with long red dashes and highlighted with red clouds. Proton transfer directions are shown with blue arrows. Swinging of the proton donor for the reprotonation from the cytoplasmic bulk in MAR is depicted with a double arrow. Proton delocalization areas are highlighted with blue clouds.

Another phylogenetic tree was used for sequence analysis of PRs and their closest homologs. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using a reference set composed of characterized sequences (35) by aligning them using the MUSCLE algorithm (87) in the UGENE 49.1 software package (88) with standard parameters. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the PhyML maximum likelihood method and SH-like branch support and visualized using iTOL software (89).

MAR expression plasmid

Candidatus Actinomarina minuta opsin gene (UniProt ID S5DM51) was cloned from metagenomic fosmid MedDCM-OCT-S44-C50 (16) without any optimization. The gene was introduced into the pIVEX2.3d vector via Nco I and Sma I restriction sites added using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (forward primer: 5'-AAAACCATGGAAGAACTAACATATCGTCTCTTTATGGTAGC-3'; reverse primer: 5'-ATATCCCGGGCGAAACTTTTCTCCTGACTGAACTCGAG-3'). Subsequently, the gene was transferred to the pSCodon1.2 vector (Staby-Codon T7, Eurogentec) via Xba I and Bam HI restriction sites, resulting in the pSC-MAR-His6 expression plasmid. Consequently, the expressed construct harbored an additional C-terminal tag with a sequence PGGGSHHHHHH (full molecular weight, 25.4 kDa). The plasmid sequence is available in data S1.

MAR expression and purification

E. coli strain SE1 cells (StabyCodon T7, Eurogentec) were transformed with the pSC-MAR-His6 plasmid. The cells were grown at 37°C in shaking baffled flasks in an autoinducing medium ZYP-5052 (90) containing ampicillin (100 mg/liter). After the glucose level in the growing bacterial culture dropped below 10 mg/liter, 10 µM all-*trans* retinal (Sigma-Aldrich, US) was added, the incubation temperature was reduced to 20°C, and incubation continued overnight. Collected cells were disrupted using the M-110P Lab Homogenizer (Microfluidics) at 172 MPa in a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, US), and deoxyribonuclease (50 mg/liter; Sigma-Aldrich, US). The membrane fraction of cell lysate was obtained by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in a 50 mM sodium potassium phosphate buffer (NaKPi; pH 8.0), 0.1 M NaCl, and 1% *n*-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM; Anatrax, Affymetrix, US). The mixture was left overnight for solubilization. The insoluble fraction part was removed by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4°C. The supernatant was loaded on the Ni-NTA column (Qiagen, Germany), and the His-tagged protein was eluted in a buffer containing 50 mM NaKPi (pH 7.5), 0.1 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole, and 0.2% DDM. The eluate was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography (125 ml of Superdex 200 PG, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, US) in a buffer containing 50 mM NaKPi (pH 7.5), 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.01% DDM. Colored protein fractions were collected and concentrated to 40 mg/ml for crystallization.

MAR-ARF1_{L8K,Q71L} molecular biology, expression, and purification

Bovine *Arf1* gene was amplified from the construct used in a previous study (91). *MAR* and *Arf1* genes were fused into a single gene by PCR. Then, we introduced the fusion genes into the pEKT expression vector, a pET vector derivative (Novagen), via Xba I and Xho I restriction sites and appended at the 3' terminus of an additional GSGGSHHHHHH tag, which was used for metal-affinity chrom-

atography purification. Point mutations of Arf1, L8K and Q71L, were introduced by PCR. The plasmid sequence is available in data S1.

We expressed the fusion protein in *E. coli* C41 (DE3) cells (Lucigen). The cultivation of the cells, cell disruption, and solubilization of the fusion protein were essentially the same as for MAR. The supernatant after solubilization was loaded on the Ni-NTA column (Qiagen, Germany), and after washing the column, we eluted the protein in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 µM guanosine diphosphate, and 0.3% DDM. Then, we applied the concentrated protein to a 30-ml Superdex 200i (GE Healthcare, Germany) column equilibrated with 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 µM guanosine diphosphate, and 0.2% DDM buffer and pooled a peak of colored functional protein. Last, we concentrated homogeneous protein to 40 mg/ml for crystallization.

Measurement of pumping activity of MAR in *E. coli* cells and in liposomes

The pumping activity of MAR in *E. coli* cells was measured as described previously for the sodium pump KR2 (92). Reconstitution of MAR into soybean phospholipids and measurements of its pumping activity in liposomes were performed as described previously for the inward proton pump BcXer (78).

Preparation of single lipid vesicles for planar BLM experiments with MAR

Phospholipids (asolectin from soybean, Sigma-Aldrich, US) were dissolved in chloroform (Chimmed, Russia) at a concentration of 1% (w/v) in a pear-shaped glass flask. Then, the solvent was completely evaporated under vacuum using a rotary evaporator, and a thin lipid film on the sides of the flask was formed. The residual solvent was removed using a vacuum pump overnight. The dried lipids were re-suspended in 0.1 M NaCl (AppliChem, Germany) supplemented with 2% (w/v) sodium cholate (Sigma-Aldrich, US) at a final concentration of 1% (w/v). The mixture was clarified by sonication at 4°C for 5 min, and solubilized MAR (50 mg/ml) was added to a final protein concentration of 0.7 mg/ml. The detergent was removed by stirring with detergent-absorbing beads (Amberlite XAD-2, Sigma-Aldrich, US) at 4°C and minimal light exposure. Four changes of beads were performed for the total removal of detergents.

Planar BLM experiments with MAR

The BLM setup was similar to that described by Bamberg and co-workers (5, 93). The planar BLM was formed from a solution of 1,2-di-*O*-phytanoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (20 mg/ml, Avanti Polar Lipids, US) and 1,2-dimyristoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-ethylphosphocholine in *n*-decane (0.4 mg/ml, Avanti Polar Lipids, US) on a 0.8-mm aperture in a septum separating the experimental Teflon cell into two compartments of equal size (each of 3-ml volume). The compartments were filled with a buffer containing 10 mM MES (Sigma-Aldrich, US) and 10 mM NaCl (AppliChem, Germany) with the required pH, starting from pH 5.0, adjusted by tris (Sigma-Aldrich, US) under stirring. The cell was connected to an external measuring circuit via two Ag/AgCl electrodes, which were placed on both sides of the BLM. The patch-clamp amplifier (OES-2, OPUS, Russia) and the output signal digitizer NI-DAQmx (National Instruments, US) were used for electric current measurements. Data analysis was conducted using the WinWCP Strathclyde Electrophysiology software designed

by J. Dempster (University of Strathclyde). BLM was exposed to continuous illumination with a halogen lamp (Novaflex, World Precision Instruments, US), providing an incident power density of 0.8 W/cm^2 . MAR-containing liposomes ($20 \mu\text{l}$) were added to one of the cell compartments and thus adhered to one side of the BLM. Then, $0.77 \mu\text{M}$ tetrachloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzimidazole [a gift of L. Yaguzhinsky (Moscow State University)] was added to the other compartment of the cell. The photocurrents were recorded after incubation of liposomes during 1 hour. All the experiments were held at 25°C .

Time-resolved absorption spectroscopy experiments with MAR

The laser flash photolysis setup was performed similarly to previous work (94–96). A Surelite II-10 Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Inc., US) was used for providing pulses of the duration of 5 ns at a 532-nm wavelength and an energy of 3 mJ per pulse. Samples in spectroscopic quartz cuvettes (5 by 5 mm; Hellma GmbH & Co., Germany) were placed in a thermostated house between two collimated and mechanically coupled monochromators (1/8-m model 77250, Oriel Corp., US). The probing light (Xe-arc lamp, 75 W, Osram, Germany) passed the first monochromator sample and arrived after a second monochromator at a photomultiplier tube detector (R3896, Hamamatsu, Japan). The current-to-voltage converter of the photomultiplier tube determines the time resolution of the measurement system of ~ 50 ns (measured as an apparent pulse width of the 5-ns laser pulse). Two digital oscilloscopes (LeCroy 9361 and 9400A; 25 and 32 kilobytes of buffer memory per channel, respectively) were used to record the traces of transient transmission changes in two overlapping time windows. The maximal digitizing rate was 10 ns per data point. Transient absorption changes were recorded in the time window from $0.7 \mu\text{s}$ to 1 s. Twenty-five laser pulses were averaged at each wavelength to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The quasi-logarithmic data compression reduced the initial number of data points per trace ($\sim 50,000$) to ~ 600 points evenly distributed in a log timescale, giving ~ 100 points per time decade. The wavelengths were varied from 330 to 730 nm in steps of 10 nm (together, 41 spectral points) using a computer-controlled step motor. Absorption spectra of the samples were measured before and after each experiment on a standard spectrophotometer (Beckman DU-800).

For the experiment in solution, MAR was reconstituted into nanodiscs as described in (78). Overall, 18 datasets were obtained. The temperature of the sample was varied from 0° to 50°C in 10°C steps. The samples were suspended in buffers containing 0.2 M NaCl and 50 mM NaKPi (pH 5, 7.5, or 10). Each dataset was independently analyzed using the global multiexponential nonlinear least-squares fitting program MEXFIT, similar to previous work. In all the photocycles, at least five intermediates are needed for a reasonable fit (figs. S3 to S5). The temperature dependence of the five apparent rate constants is presented in fig. S6. Apparent activation enthalpies and entropies of reactions obtained from the fit are summarized in table S1.

A similar setup was used for time-resolved absorption spectroscopy in crystals, except that the homemade LCP plate holder replaced the thermostatic cuvette holder. In the holder, the plate at ambient temperature is inclined at an angle of 45° to the horizontal position so that, first, the horizontal probe beam can pass through the plate and, second, the vertical excitation laser beam can reach the crystals. The selected well was investigated with an optical microscope

to detect the crystal positions in the drop. The area around the crystals was covered by nontransparent tape to make light pass only through the crystals.

Crystallization and x-ray crystallography

The crystals of MAR and MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} were grown using the in meso approach (32), similar to our previous works (3, 7, 11, 48, 68, 78, 92, 97–99). The solubilized protein was mixed with the monooleoyl-formed lipidic phase (Nu-Chek Prep, US) and the crystallization buffer was added. Crystallization trials were set up using the NT8 robotic system (Formulatrix, US). The crystals were grown at 22°C and reached the final size of 100 to $300 \mu\text{m}$ within 1 to 3 months. Overall, three crystal forms appeared in our crystallization trials. Orange-form crystals of MAR (fig. S7A; corresponding to the ground and M-like state structures) belong to the *P1* space group and contain two MAR molecules in the asymmetric unit (ASU). Crystals of MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} (fig. S7C; this crystal form was used to accumulate the N state intermediate of MAR) belong to the *C2* space group and contain one chimera molecule in the ASU. Rose-form crystals of MAR (fig. S7B; corresponding to the O* and O state structures) belong to the *P2* space group and contain one MAR molecule in the ASU. The best orange-form MAR crystals were obtained in 3.0 M ammonium phosphate buffer (AmPi pH 8.8; ground state), 1 M ammonium sulfate, and 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2; M-like state). The best MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} crystals were obtained in 2.0 M AmPi (pH 7.0). The best rose-form MAR crystals were obtained in 2.6 M AmPi (pH 8.4; O* state), 140 mM NaCl, 10% polyethylene glycol, molecular weight 600, and 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 4.6; O state). All crystals were harvested using micromounts (MiTeGen), flash cooled, and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data were collected at 100 K at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France, beamlines ID23-1 (MAR in the ground, M-like, O*, and O states), ID30A-3 (MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} in the N state), and ID30B (MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} in the ground state); and at the PETRAIII, DESY, Hamburg, Germany, EMBL beamline P14 (MAR in the P593 state). Diffraction images were processed using XDS (100). Because of the data's notable anisotropy, excluding the M-like state, the STARANISO web server was used to estimate the anisotropic resolution limits (table S2) and apply an anisotropic correction. Despite the lower completeness of the resultant dataset at high-resolution shells, this service provides better quality of the final models than those built using all the reflexes. It is regularly used in the studies of challenging proteins [see refs. (101–104)]. For the M-like state of MAR, POINTLESS and AIMLESS (105) were used instead. When allowed by the space group (PDB IDs: 8RSO/7AVN/8RSP, 8RSQ/8RSR, and 8RSS/7AVP), the test set was chosen the same between different structures. The structures of MAR were solved using molecular replacement with MOLREP (106) and the poly-ala MAR [PDB ID: 5JSI (107)] as a search model. The structure of MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} was solved with Phaser, where poly-ala structures of MAR [PDB ID: 5JSI (107)] and human Arf1 [PDB ID: 1HUR (108)] were used as search models. The resultant structures were then rebuilt in Phenix.Autobuild (109). Interactive refinement was performed in Coot (110). REFMAC5 (111) and Phenix.Refine (112) were used for the automatic refinement. The final quality was assessed with Phenix.MolProbity (113). The final resolution of the models was confirmed by the paired refinement approach (114). In the case of the structure of MAR-Arf1 double mutant in the N state, the resolution dropped from

2.1 to 2.3 Å. The cavities were calculated using HOLLOW (115). Hydrophobic-hydrophilic boundaries of the membrane were calculated using the PPM server (116). Because of the low occupancy of the cryotrapped O state, obtained from the O* state crystals, we used extrapolated maps built in Xtrapol8 (117) to build the corresponding model.

Identification of SHBs

Our data on MAR do not allow direct visualization of H-atoms, which is important for distinguishing between regular H-bonds and SHBs. Therefore, we analyzed the lengths of H-bonds to trace SHBs. For accurate analysis, the coordinate errors of the relative positions of the atoms were calculated as proposed in (118). Briefly, the position (r) error of an atom was estimated as $\sigma_r = 3^{1/2} [N_{\text{atom}} / (N_{\text{refl}} - N_{\text{param}})]^{1/2} C^{-1/3} R_{\text{factor}} D_{\text{max}} = 3^{1/2}$ DPI, where N_{atom} is a total number of atoms in the structure, N_{refl} is a total number of reflections included in refinement, and N_{param} is the number of parameters of the model with the R factor of the model R_{factor} , resolution limit D_{max} , completeness C , and diffraction-component precision index DPI. The Cruickshank DPI in the formula is calculated with REFMAC5 (111) and included in table S3 for each structure. The H-bond length error was estimated as $\sigma_d = 2^{1/2} \sigma_r = 6^{1/2}$ DPI. In the case of lower-resolution MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} structures, model restraints start to play a substantial role, and a free R factor R_{free} better estimates the H-bond length error with another formula $\sigma_d = 6^{1/2} (N_{\text{atom}} / N_{\text{refl}})^{1/2} C^{-1/3} R_{\text{free}} D_{\text{max}}$. For the ground and O states, the σ_d value was at the level of 0.1 Å, and for the M-like and O* states of MAR, it was 0.2 Å, while for the N state of MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L}, it was 0.3 Å. Given that H-bonds with the O–O or N–O distances of 2.3 to 2.6 or 2.3 to 2.7 Å, respectively, are considered SHBs (119, 120), we assigned H-bonds as SHBs only when the distance was smaller than 2.5 or 2.6 Å for the ground and O state structures and 2.4 or 2.5 Å for the M-like and O* state structures. No SHB analysis was performed for the other structures because of the high H-bond length error. Information on SHBs in the structures of *HsBR* and *BcXer* was taken from refs. (3, 20), respectively.

In crystallo UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy and cryotrapping of intermediate states

Absorption spectra of MAR crystals were collected at the in crystallo optical spectroscopy (*icOS*) laboratory at the ESRF (121). Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption spectra were measured using a DH-200-BAL deuterium-halogen lamp (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) as a reference light and a QE65 Pro spectrophotometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL). The crystal, mounted in a loop on the *icOS* diffractometer, was placed in the flow of a cryostream (Oxford Instruments, UK) maintained at 100 K in the coinciding focal volume of three reflective objectives. The white lamp was connected to one of the objectives through a 200- μm -diameter fiber, resulting in a 50- μm focal spot on the crystal. The spectrometer was connected to the opposite objective with a 400- μm -diameter fiber. Spectra were recorded with a 100-ms acquisition time and averaged 20 times.

To populate the N and P593 states and show the ability of the O* state crystals to convert to the O state, we used the cryotrapping approach at the *icOS* laboratory. Initially maintained at 100 K, a crystal was illuminated with a 532-nm laser (CNI Laser, Changchun, P.R. China) via the third objective for 2 s at room temperature by blocking the cryostream. The laser was connected through a 600- μm -diameter fiber, resulting in a 150- μm -diameter focal spot and a power density of 2 mW/cm² at the

crystal position. The mean size of the crystals was 100 by 50 by 20 μm . During the cryotrapping, the plate-like crystals were oriented so that the largest plane (100 by 50 μm) was perpendicular to the laser beam. The spectral data were analyzed using the in-house Python script (<https://github.com/ncara/icOS>). A similar cryotrapping setup was recreated for the x-ray data collection at the beamlines ID30A-3 (ESRF) and P14 (EMBL Hamburg).

Supplementary Materials

The PDF file includes:

Supplementary Text
Figs. S1 to S19
Tables S1 to S5
Legend for movie S1
Legend for data S1
References

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:

Movie S1
Data S1

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. O. Béja, E. N. Spudich, J. L. Spudich, M. Leclerc, E. F. DeLong, Proteorhodopsin phototrophy in the ocean. *Nature* **411**, 786–789 (2001).
2. L. Gómez-Consarnau, J. A. Raven, N. M. Levine, L. S. Cutter, D. Wang, B. Seegers, J. Aristegui, J. A. Fuhrman, J. M. Gasol, S. A. Sañudo-Wilhelmy, Microbial rhodopsins are major contributors to the solar energy captured in the sea. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaaw8855 (2019).
3. V. Borshchevskiy, K. Kovalev, E. Round, R. Efremov, R. Astashkin, G. Bourenkov, D. Bratanov, T. Balandin, I. Chizhov, C. Baeken, I. Gushchin, A. Kuzmin, A. Alekseev, A. Rogachev, D. Willbold, M. Engelhard, E. Bamberg, G. Büldt, V. Gordeliy, True-atomic-resolution insights into the structure and functional role of linear chains and low-barrier hydrogen bonds in proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **29**, 440–450 (2022).
4. D. Zabelskii, N. Dmitrieva, O. Volkov, V. Shevchenko, K. Kovalev, T. Balandin, D. Soloviov, R. Astashkin, E. Zinovev, A. Alekseev, E. Round, V. Polovinkin, I. Chizhov, A. Rogachev, I. Okhrimenko, V. Borshchevskiy, J. M. Kralj, R. Partha, E. N. Spudich, A. Bamberg, E. Koonin, V. Gordeliy, Structure-based insights into evolution of rhodopsins. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 821 (2021).
5. T. Friedrich, S. Geibel, R. Kalmbach, I. Chizhov, K. Ataka, J. Heberle, M. Engelhard, E. Bamberg, Proteorhodopsin is a light-driven proton pump with variable vectoriality. *J. Mol. Biol.* **321**, 821–838 (2002).
6. L. Zimányi, G. Váró, M. Chang, B. Ni, R. Needleman, J. K. Lanyi, Pathways of proton release in the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biochemistry* **31**, 8535–8543 (1992).
7. I. Gushchin, P. Chervakov, P. Kuzmichev, A. N. Popov, E. Round, V. Borshchevskiy, A. Ishchenko, L. Petrovskaya, V. Chupin, D. A. Dolgikh, A. A. Arseniev, M. Kirpichnikov, V. Gordeliy, Structural insights into the proton pumping by unusual proteorhodopsin from nonmarine bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 12631–12636 (2013).
8. V. B. Bergo, O. A. Sineshchekov, J. M. Kralj, R. Partha, E. N. Spudich, K. J. Rothschild, J. L. Spudich, His-75 in proteorhodopsin, a novel component in light-driven proton translocation by primary pumps. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 2836–2843 (2009).
9. F. Hempelmann, S. Hölper, M.-K. Verhoefen, A. C. Woerner, T. Köhler, S.-A. Fiedler, N. Pfeleger, J. Wachtveitl, C. Glaubitz, His75-Asp97 cluster in green proteorhodopsin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 4645–4654 (2011).
10. R. Astashkin, K. Kovalev, S. Bukhdruker, S. Vaganova, A. Kuzmin, A. Alekseev, T. Balandin, D. Zabelskii, I. Gushchin, A. Royant, D. Volkov, G. Bourenkov, E. Koonin, M. Engelhard, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Structural insights into light-driven anion pumping in cyanobacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6460 (2022).
11. K. Kovalev, R. Astashkin, I. Gushchin, P. Orekhov, D. Volkov, E. Zinovev, E. Marin, M. Rulev, A. Alekseev, A. Royant, P. Carpentier, S. Vaganova, D. Zabelskii, C. Baeken, I. Sergeev, T. Balandin, G. Bourenkov, X. Carpena, R. Boer, N. Malier, V. Borshchevskiy, G. Büldt, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2137 (2020).
12. L. S. Brown, Light-driven proton transfers and proton transport by microbial rhodopsins – A biophysical perspective. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1864**, 183867 (2022).
13. R. Efremov, V. I. Gordeliy, J. Heberle, G. Büldt, Time-resolved microspectroscopy on a single crystal of bacteriorhodopsin reveals lattice-induced differences in the photocycle kinetics. *Biophys. J.* **91**, 1441–1451 (2006).
14. S. Engilberge, N. Caramello, S. Bukhdruker, M. Byrdin, T. Giraud, P. Jacquet, D. Scortani, R. Biv, H. Gonzalez, A. Broquet, P. van der Linden, S. L. Rose, D. Flot, T. Balandin, V. Gordeliy, J. M. Lahey-Rudolph, M. Roessle, D. de Sanctis, G. A. Leonard,

- C. Mueller-Dieckmann, A. Royant, The TR-icOS setup at the ESRF: Time-resolved microsecond UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy on protein crystals. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* **80**, 16–25 (2024).
15. T. Weinert, P. Skopintsev, D. James, F. Dworkowski, E. Panepucci, D. Kekilli, A. Furrer, S. Brünle, S. Mous, D. Ozerov, P. Nogly, M. Wang, J. Standfuss, Proton uptake mechanism in bacteriorhodopsin captured by serial synchrotron crystallography. *Science* **365**, 61–65 (2019).
 16. R. Ghai, C. M. Mizuno, A. Picazo, A. Camacho, F. Rodriguez-Valera, Metagenomics uncovers a new group of low GC and ultra-small marine Actinobacteria. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, 2471 (2013).
 17. E. M. Landau, J. P. Rosenbusch, Lipidic cubic phases: A novel concept for the crystallization of membrane proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93**, 14532–14535 (1996).
 18. H. Luecke, B. Schobert, H. T. Richter, J. P. Cartailleur, J. K. Lanyi, Structural changes in bacteriorhodopsin during ion transport at 2 angstrom resolution. *Science* **286**, 255–260 (1999).
 19. H. Luecke, H. T. Richter, J. K. Lanyi, Proton transfer pathways in bacteriorhodopsin at 2.3 angstrom resolution. *Science* **280**, 1934–1937 (1998).
 20. K. Kovalev, F. Tsybrov, A. Alekseev, V. Shevchenko, D. Soloviov, S. Siletsky, G. Bourenkov, M. Agethe, M. Nikolova, D. von Stetten, R. Balandin, S. Bukhdruker, I. Chizhov, A. Royant, A. Kuzmin, I. Gushchin, R. Rosselli, F. Rodriguez-Valera, N. Ilyinskiy, A. Rogachev, V. Borschchevskiy, T. R. Schneider, E. Bamberg, V. Gordelyi, Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **30**, 970–979 (2023).
 21. S. Bukhdruker, I. Melnikov, C. Baeken, T. Balandin, V. Gordelyi, Crystallographic insights into lipid-membrane protein interactions in microbial rhodopsins. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **11**, 1503709 (2024).
 22. M. López-Pérez, J. M. Haro-Moreno, J. Iranzo, F. Rodriguez-Valera, Genomes of the “*Candidatus* Actinomarinales” order: Highly streamlined marine epipelagic actinobacteria. *mSystems* **5**, e01041-20 (2020).
 23. J. Pinhassi, E. F. DeLong, O. Béjà, J. M. González, C. Pedrós-Alió, Marine bacterial and archaeal ion-pumping rhodopsins: Genetic diversity, physiology, and ecology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **80**, 929–954 (2016).
 24. A. Higuchi, W. Shihoya, M. Konno, T. Ikuta, H. Kandori, K. Inoue, O. Nureki, Crystal structure of schizorhodopsin reveals mechanism of inward proton pumping. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2016328118 (2021).
 25. T. Köhler, I. Weber, C. Glaubitz, J. Wachtveitl, Proteorhodopsin Photocycle Kinetics Between pH 5 and pH 9. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **93**, 762–771 (2017).
 26. K. M. Stone, J. Voska, M. Kinnebrew, A. Pavlova, M. J. N. Junk, S. Han, Structural insight into proteorhodopsin oligomers. *Biophys. J.* **104**, 472–481 (2013).
 27. S. Hirschi, D. Kalbermatter, Z. Ucurum, T. Lemmin, D. Fotiadis, Cryo-EM structure and dynamics of the green-light absorbing proteorhodopsin. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4107 (2021).
 28. S. Hirschi, T. Lemmin, N. Ayoub, D. Kalbermatter, D. Pellegata, Z. Ucurum, J. Gertsch, D. Fotiadis, Structural insights into the mechanism and dynamics of proteorhodopsin biogenesis and retinal scavenging. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 6950 (2024).
 29. T. Morizumi, W. L. Ou, N. Van Eps, K. Inoue, H. Kandori, L. S. Brown, O. P. Ernst, X-ray crystallographic structure and oligomerization of Gloeobacter rhodopsin. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 11283 (2019).
 30. A. Iizuka, K. Kajimoto, T. Fujisawa, T. Tsukamoto, T. Aizawa, N. Kamo, K.-H. Jung, M. Unno, M. Demura, T. Kikukawa, Functional importance of the oligomer formation of the cyanobacterial H⁺ pump Gloeobacter rhodopsin. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 10711 (2019).
 31. V. I. Gordelyi, J. Labahn, R. Moukhamedzhanov, R. Efremov, J. Granzin, R. Schlesinger, G. Büldt, T. Savopol, A. J. Scheidig, J. P. Klare, M. Engelhard, Molecular basis of transmembrane signalling by sensory rhodopsin II-transducer complex. *Nature* **419**, 484–487 (2002).
 32. V. I. Gordelyi, R. Schlesinger, R. Efremov, G. Büldt, J. Heberle, Crystallization in lipidic cubic phases: A case study with bacteriorhodopsin. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **228**, 305–316 (2003).
 33. H. Luecke, B. Schobert, J. Stagno, E. S. Imasheva, J. M. Wang, S. P. Balashov, J. K. Lanyi, Crystallographic structure of xanthorhodopsin, the light-driven proton pump with a dual chromophore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 16561–16565 (2008).
 34. T. Ran, G. Ozorowski, Y. Gao, O. A. Sineshchekov, W. Wang, J. L. Spudich, H. Luecke, Cross-promoter interaction with the photoactive site in oligomeric proteorhodopsin complexes. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **69**, 1965–1980 (2013).
 35. A. Chazan, I. Das, T. Fujiwara, S. Murakoshi, A. Rozenberg, A. Molina-Márquez, F. K. Sano, T. Tanaka, P. Gómez-Villegas, S. Larom, A. Pushkarev, P. Malakar, M. Hasegawa, Y. Tsukamoto, T. Ishizuka, M. Konno, T. Nagata, Y. Mizuno, K. Katayama, R. Abe-Yoshizumi, S. Ruhman, K. Inoue, H. Kandori, R. León, W. Shihoya, S. Yoshizawa, M. Sheves, O. Nureki, O. Béjà, Phototrophy by antenna-containing rhodopsin pumps in aquatic environments. *Nature* **615**, 535–540 (2023).
 36. S. Reckel, D. Gottstein, J. Stehle, F. Löh, M.-K. Verhoeven, M. Takeda, R. Silvers, M. Kainosho, C. Glaubitz, J. Wachtveitl, F. Bernhard, H. Schwalbe, P. Güntert, V. Dötsch, Solution NMR Structure of Proteorhodopsin. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **50**, 11942–11946 (2011).
 37. K. Gao, J. Beardall, D.-P. Häder, J. M. Hall-Spencer, G. Gao, D. A. Hutchins, Effects of ocean acidification on marine photosynthetic organisms under the concurrent influences of warming, UV radiation, and deoxygenation. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **6**, 322 (2019).
 38. E. S. Imasheva, S. P. Balashov, J. M. Wang, J. K. Lanyi, pH-dependent transitions in xanthorhodopsin. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **82**, 1406–1413 (2006).
 39. S. P. Balashov, L. E. Petrovskaya, E. P. Lukashev, E. S. Imasheva, A. K. Dioumaev, J. M. Wang, S. V. Sychev, D. A. Dolgikh, A. B. Rubin, M. P. Kirpichnikov, J. K. Lanyi, Aspartate-histidine interaction in the retinal schiff base counterion of the light-driven proton pump of *Exiguobacterium sibiricum*. *Biochemistry* **51**, 5748–5762 (2012).
 40. H. Okumura, M. Murakami, T. Kouyama, Crystal structures of acid blue and alkaline purple forms of bacteriorhodopsin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **351**, 481–495 (2005).
 41. A. K. Dioumaev, L. S. Brown, J. Shih, E. N. Spudich, J. L. Spudich, J. K. Lanyi, Proton transfers in the photochemical reaction cycle of proteorhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **41**, 5348–5358 (2002).
 42. S. Subramaniam, R. Henderson, Molecular mechanism of vectorial proton translocation by bacteriorhodopsin. *Nature* **406**, 653–657 (2000).
 43. R. Huber, T. Köhler, M. O. Lenz, E. Bamberg, R. Kalmbach, M. Engelhard, J. Wachtveitl, pH-dependent photoisomerization of retinal in proteorhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **44**, 1800–1806 (2005).
 44. M. O. Lenz, R. Huber, B. Schmidt, P. Gilch, R. Kalmbach, M. Engelhard, J. Wachtveitl, First steps of retinal photoisomerization in proteorhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **91**, 255–262 (2006).
 45. D. Ikeda, Y. Furutani, H. Kandori, FTIR study of the retinal Schiff base and internal water molecules of proteorhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **46**, 5365–5373 (2007).
 46. M. Mehler, C. E. Eckert, A. J. Leeder, J. Kaur, T. Fischer, N. Kubatova, L. J. Brown, R. C. D. Brown, J. Becker-Baldus, J. Wachtveitl, C. Glaubitz, Chromophore distortions in photointermediates of proteorhodopsin visualized by dynamic nuclear polarization-enhanced solid-state NMR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 16143–16153 (2017).
 47. J. F. Bada Juarez, P. J. Judge, S. Adam, D. Axford, J. Vinals, J. Birch, T. O. C. Kwan, K. K. Hoi, H.-Y. Yen, A. Vial, P.-E. Milhiet, C. V. Robinson, I. Schapiro, I. Moraes, A. Watts, Structures of the archaeorhodopsin-3 transporter reveal that disordering of internal water networks underpins receptor sensitization. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 629 (2021).
 48. D. Zabelskii, A. Alekseev, K. Kovalev, V. Rankovic, T. Balandin, D. Soloviov, D. Bratanov, E. Savelyeva, E. Podolyak, D. Volkov, S. Vaganova, R. Astashkin, I. Chizhov, N. Yutin, M. Rulev, A. Popov, A.-S. Eria-Oliveira, T. Rokitskaya, T. Mager, Y. Antonenko, R. Rosselli, G. Armeev, K. Shaitan, M. Vivaudou, G. Büldt, A. Rogachev, F. Rodriguez-Valera, M. Kirpichnikov, T. Moser, A. Offenhäuser, D. Willbold, E. Koonin, E. Bamberg, V. Gordelyi, Viral rhodopsins 1 are a unique family of light-gated cation channels. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5707 (2020).
 49. J. H. Park, P. Scheerer, K. P. Hofmann, H.-W. Choe, O. P. Ernst, Crystal structure of the ligand-free G-protein-coupled receptor opsin. *Nature* **454**, 183–187 (2008).
 50. R. J. C. Hilf, R. Dutzler, Structure of a potentially open state of a proton-activated pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. *Nature* **457**, 115–118 (2009).
 51. T. Fujisawa, K. Nishikawa, J. Tamogami, M. Unno, Conformational analysis of a retinal schiff base chromophore in proteorhodopsin by Raman optical activity. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 9564–9568 (2021).
 52. C. Bamann, E. Bamberg, J. Wachtveitl, C. Glaubitz, Proteorhodopsin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* **1837**, 614–625 (2014).
 53. B. Schätzler, N. A. Dencher, J. Tittor, D. Oesterheld, S. Yaniv-Checover, E. Nachliel, M. Gutman, Subsecond proton-hole propagation in bacteriorhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **84**, 671–686 (2003).
 54. S. Faramarzi, J. Feng, B. Mertz, Allosteric effects of the proton donor on the microbial proton pump Proteorhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **115**, 1240–1250 (2018).
 55. S. Sasaki, J. Tamogami, K. Nishiyama, M. Demura, T. Kikukawa, Replaceability of Schiff base proton donors in light-driven proton pump rhodopsins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **297**, 101013 (2021).
 56. J. F. Nagle, H. J. Morowitz, Molecular mechanisms for proton transport in membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **75**, 298–302 (1978).
 57. J. F. Nagle, S. Tristram-Nagle, Hydrogen bonded chain mechanisms for proton conduction and proton pumping. *J. Membr. Biol.* **74**, 1–14 (1983).
 58. T. Noji, Y. Chiba, K. Saito, H. Ishikita, Energetics of the H-bond network in *Exiguobacterium sibiricum* rhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **63**, 1505–1512 (2024).
 59. E. Freier, S. Wolf, K. Gerwert, Proton transfer via a transient linear water-molecule chain in a membrane protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 11435–11439 (2011).
 60. M. R. M. Miranda, A. R. Choi, L. Shi, A. G. Bezerra Jr., K.-H. Jung, L. S. Brown, The photocycle and proton translocation pathway in a cyanobacterial ion-pumping rhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **96**, 1471–1481 (2009).
 61. F. Garczarek, K. Gerwert, Functional waters in intraprotein proton transfer monitored by FTIR difference spectroscopy. *Nature* **439**, 109–112 (2006).
 62. T. Sugimoto, K. Katayama, H. Kandori, FTIR study of light-induced proton transfer and Ca²⁺ binding in T82D mutant of TAT rhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **123**, 4245–4255 (2024).
 63. P. Goyal, N. Ghosh, P. Phatak, M. Clemens, M. Gaus, M. Elstner, Q. Cui, Proton storage site in bacteriorhodopsin: New insights from quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics

- simulations of microscopic pK_a and infrared spectra. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 14981–14997 (2011).
64. D. Maag, T. Mast, M. Elstner, Q. Cui, T. Kubař, O to bR transition in bacteriorhodopsin occurs through a proton hole mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2024803118 (2021).
 65. A. Shigeta, S. Ito, K. Inoue, T. Okitsu, A. Wada, H. Kandori, I. Kawamura, Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance structural study of the retinal-binding pocket in sodium ion pump rhodopsin. *Biochemistry* **56**, 543–550 (2017).
 66. N. Caramello, A. Royant, From femtoseconds to minutes: Time-resolved macromolecular crystallography at XFELs and synchrotrons. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* **80**, 60–79 (2024).
 67. G. Khusainov, J. Standfuss, T. Weinert, The time revolution in macromolecular crystallography. *Struct. Dyn.* **11**, 020901 (2024).
 68. I. Gushchin, A. Reshetnyak, V. Borshchevskiy, A. Ishchenko, E. Round, S. Grudinin, M. Engelhard, G. Büldt, V. Gordeliy, Active state of sensory rhodopsin II: Structural determinants for signal transfer and proton pumping. *J. Mol. Biol.* **412**, 591–600 (2011).
 69. S. Liu, W. Li, Protein fusion strategies for membrane protein stabilization and crystal structure determination. *Crystals* **12**, 1041 (2022).
 70. S. Dai, L.-M. Funk, F. R. von Pappenheim, V. Sautner, M. Paulikat, B. Schröder, J. Uranga, R. A. Mata, K. Tittmann, Low-barrier hydrogen bonds in enzyme cooperativity. *Nature* **573**, 609–613 (2019).
 71. H. Ogata, K. Nishikawa, W. Lubitz, Hydrogens detected by subatomic resolution protein crystallography in a [NiFe] hydrogenase. *Nature* **520**, 571–574 (2015).
 72. M. Woińska, S. Grabowsky, P. M. Dominiak, K. Woźniak, D. Jayatilaka, Hydrogen atoms can be located accurately and precisely by X-ray crystallography. *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1600192 (2016).
 73. U. K. Eriksson, G. Fischer, R. Friemann, G. Enkavi, E. Tajkhorshid, R. Neutze, Subangstrom resolution X-ray structure details aquaporin-water interactions. *Science* **340**, 1346–1349 (2013).
 74. M. P. Blakeley, S. S. Hasnain, S. V. Antonyuk, Sub-atomic resolution X-ray crystallography and neutron crystallography: Promise, challenges and potential. *IUCr* **2**, 464–474 (2015).
 75. J. A. Fuhrman, M. S. Schwalbach, U. Stingl, Proterorhodopsins: An array of physiological roles? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**, 488–494 (2008).
 76. R. Neutze, E. Pebay-Peyroula, K. Edman, A. Royant, J. Navarro, E. M. Landau, Bacteriorhodopsin: A high-resolution structural view of vectorial proton transport. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1565**, 144–167 (2002).
 77. K. Inoue, S. Ito, Y. Kato, Y. Nomura, M. Shibata, T. Uchihashi, S. P. Tsunoda, H. Kandori, A natural light-driven inward proton pump. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13415 (2016).
 78. V. Shevchenko, T. Mager, K. Kovalev, V. Polovinkin, A. Alekseev, J. Juettner, I. Chizhov, C. Bamann, C. Vavourakis, R. Ghai, I. Gushchin, V. Borshchevskiy, A. Rogachev, I. Melnikov, A. Popov, T. Balandin, F. Rodriguez-Valera, D. J. Manstein, G. Büldt, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Inward H⁺ pump xenorhodopsin: Mechanism and alternative optogenetic approach. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1603187 (2017).
 79. A. Okuyama, S. Hososhima, H. Kandori, S. P. Tsunoda, Driving forces of proton-pumping rhodopsins. *Biophys. J.* **123**, 4274–4284 (2024).
 80. A. Warshel, A. Papazyan, P. A. Kollman, On low-barrier hydrogen bonds and enzyme catalysis. *Science* **269**, 102–106 (1995).
 81. S. Yamaguchi, H. Kamikubo, K. Kurihara, R. Kuroki, N. Niimura, N. Shimizu, Y. Yamazaki, M. Kataoka, Low-barrier hydrogen bond in photoactive yellow protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 440–444 (2009).
 82. J. Wang, Visualization of H atoms in the X-ray crystal structure of photoactive yellow protein: Does it contain low-barrier hydrogen bonds? *Protein Sci.* **28**, 1966–1972 (2019).
 83. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
 84. B. Q. Minh, H. A. Schmidt, O. Chernomor, D. Schrempf, M. D. Woodhams, A. Von Haeseler, R. Lanfear, E. Teeling, IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).
 85. S. Kalyaanamoorthy, B. Q. Minh, T. K. F. Wong, A. Von Haeseler, L. S. Jermini, ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 587–589 (2017).
 86. D. T. Hoang, O. Chernomor, A. Von Haeseler, B. Q. Minh, L. S. Vinh, UFBoot2: Improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522 (2018).
 87. R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 1792–1797 (2004).
 88. K. Okonechnikov, O. Golosova, M. Fursov, UGENE team, Unipro UGENE: A unified bioinformatics toolkit. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1166–1167 (2012).
 89. I. Letunic, P. Bork, Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: An online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, W293–W296 (2021).
 90. F. W. Studier, Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **41**, 207–234 (2005).
 91. L. Renault, B. Guibert, J. Cherfils, Structural snapshots of the mechanism and inhibition of a guanine nucleotide exchange factor. *Nature* **426**, 525–530 (2003).
 92. I. Gushchin, V. Shevchenko, V. Polovinkin, K. Kovalev, A. Alekseev, E. Round, V. Borshchevskiy, T. Balandin, A. Popov, T. Gensch, C. Fahlke, C. Bamann, D. Willbold, G. Büldt, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Crystal structure of a light-driven sodium pump. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **22**, 390–395 (2015).
 93. E. Bamberg, H.-J. Apell, N. A. Dencher, W. Sperling, H. Stieve, P. Läger, Photocurrents generated by bacteriorhodopsin on planar bilayer membranes. *Biophys. Struct. Mech.* **5**, 277–292 (1979).
 94. I. Chizhov, D. S. Chernavskii, M. Engelhard, K. H. Mueller, B. V. Zubov, B. Hess, Spectrally silent transitions in the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biophys. J.* **71**, 2329–2345 (1996).
 95. I. Chizhov, G. Schmies, R. Seidel, J. R. Sydor, B. Lüttenberg, M. Engelhard, The photophobic receptor from *natronobacterium pharaonis*: Temperature and pH dependencies of the photocycle of sensory Rhodopsin II. *Biophys. J.* **75**, 999–1009 (1998).
 96. I. Chizhov, M. Engelhard, Temperature and halide dependence of the photocycle of halorhodopsin from *Natronobacterium pharaonis*. *Biophys. J.* **81**, 1600–1612 (2001).
 97. D. Bratanov, K. Kovalev, J.-P. Machtens, R. Astashkin, I. Chizhov, D. Soloviov, D. Volkov, V. Polovinkin, D. Zabelskii, T. Mager, I. Gushchin, T. Rokitskaya, Y. Antonenko, A. Alekseev, V. Shevchenko, N. Yutin, R. Rosselli, C. Baeken, V. Borshchevskiy, G. Bourenkov, A. Popov, T. Balandin, G. Büldt, D. J. Manstein, F. Rodriguez-Valera, C. Fahlke, E. Bamberg, E. Koonin, V. Gordeliy, Unique structure and function of viral rhodopsins. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4939 (2019).
 98. O. Volkov, K. Kovalev, V. Polovinkin, V. Borshchevskiy, C. Bamann, R. Astashkin, E. Marin, A. Popov, T. Balandin, D. Willbold, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Structural insights into ion conduction by channelrhodopsin 2. *Science* **358**, eaan8862 (2017).
 99. K. Kovalev, D. Volkov, R. Astashkin, A. Alekseev, I. Gushchin, J. M. Haro-Moreno, I. Chizhov, S. Siletsky, M. Mamedov, A. Rogachev, T. Balandin, V. Borshchevskiy, A. Popov, G. Bourenkov, E. Bamberg, F. Rodriguez-Valera, G. Büldt, V. Gordeliy, High-resolution structural insights into the heliorhodopsin family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 4131–4141 (2020).
 100. W. Kabsch, XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
 101. S. Günther, P. Y. A. Reinke, Y. Fernández-García, J. Lieske, T. J. Lane, H. M. Ginn, F. H. M. Koua, C. Ehrst, W. Ewert, D. Oberthuer, O. Yefanov, S. Meier, K. Lorenzen, B. Krichel, J.-D. Kopicki, L. Gelisio, W. Brehm, I. Dunkel, B. Seychell, H. Gieseler, B. Norton-Baker, M. Escudero-Pérez, M. Domaracký, S. Saouane, A. Tolstikova, T. A. White, A. Hänle, M. Groessler, H. Fleckenstein, F. Trost, M. Galchenkova, Y. Gevorkov, C. Li, S. Awel, A. Peck, M. Barthelemess, F. Schlünzen, P. L. Xavier, N. Werner, H. Andaleeb, N. Ullah, S. Falke, V. Srinivasan, B. A. França, M. Schwinzer, H. Brognaro, C. Rogers, D. Melo, J. I. Zaitseva-Kinneberg, J. Knoska, G. E. Peña-Murillo, A. R. Mashhour, V. Hennicke, P. Fischer, J. Hakanpää, J. Meyer, P. Gribbon, B. Ellinger, M. Kuzikov, M. Wolf, A. R. Beccari, G. Bourenkov, D. von Stetten, G. Bentos, S. Panneerselvam, I. Karpics, T. R. Schneider, M. M. Garcia-Alai, S. Niebling, C. Günther, C. Schmidt, R. Schubert, H. Han, J. Boger, D. C. F. Monteiro, L. Zhang, X. Sun, J. Pletzer-Zelgert, J. Wollenhaupt, C. G. Feiler, M. S. Weiss, E.-C. Schulz, P. Mehrabi, K. Karničar, A. Usenik, J. Loboda, H. Tidow, A. Chari, R. Hilgenfeldt, C. Uetrecht, R. Cox, A. Zaliani, T. Beck, M. Rarey, S. Günther, D. Turk, W. Hinrichs, H. N. Chapman, A. R. Pearson, C. Betzel, A. Meents, X-ray screening identifies active site and allosteric inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease. *Science* **372**, 642–646 (2021).
 102. M. Ślabicki, Z. Kozicka, G. Petzold, Y.-D. Li, M. Manojkumar, R. D. Bunker, K. A. Donovan, Q. L. Sievers, J. Koepfel, D. Suchyta, A. S. Sperling, E. C. Fink, J. A. Gasser, L. R. Wang, S. M. Corsello, R. S. Sellar, M. Jan, D. Gillingham, C. Scholl, S. Fröhling, T. R. Golub, E. S. Fischer, N. H. Thomä, B. L. Ebert, The CDK inhibitor CR8 acts as a molecular glue degrader that depletes cyclin K. *Nature* **585**, 293–297 (2020).
 103. L. Andreeva, B. Hiller, D. Kostrewa, C. Lässig, C. C. De Oliveira Mann, D. Jan Drexler, A. Maiser, M. Gaidt, H. Leonhardt, V. Hornung, K.-P. Hopfner, cGAS senses long and HMGB/TFAM-bound U-turn DNA by forming protein–DNA ladders. *Nature* **549**, 394–398 (2017).
 104. Q. L. Sievers, G. Petzold, R. D. Bunker, A. Renneville, M. Ślabicki, B. J. Liddicoat, W. Abdulrahman, T. Mikkelsen, B. L. Ebert, N. H. Thomä, Defining the human C2H2 zinc finger degrome targeted by thalidomide analogs through CRBN. *Science* **362**, eaat0572 (2018).
 105. P. Evans, Scaling and assessment of data quality. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **62**, 72–82 (2006).
 106. A. Vagin, A. Teplyakov, Molecular replacement with MOLREP. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 22–25 (2010).
 107. I. Melnikov, V. Polovinkin, K. Kovalev, I. Gushchin, M. Shevtsov, V. Shevchenko, A. Mishin, A. Alekseev, F. Rodriguez-Valera, V. Borshchevskiy, V. Cherezov, G. A. Leonard, V. Gordeliy, A. Popov, Fast iodide-SAD phasing for high-throughput membrane protein structure determination. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602952 (2017).
 108. J. C. Amor, D. H. Harrison, R. A. Kahn, D. Ringe, Structure of the human ADP-ribosylation factor 1 complexed with GDP. *Nature* **372**, 704–708 (1994).
 109. T. C. Terwilliger, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. V. Afonine, N. W. Moriarty, P. H. Zwart, L. W. Hung, R. J. Read, P. D. Adams, Iterative model building, structure refinement and

- density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **64**, 61–69 (2007).
110. P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
 111. G. N. Murshudov, P. Skubák, A. A. Lebedev, N. S. Pannu, R. A. Steiner, R. A. Nicholls, M. D. Winn, F. Long, A. A. Vagin, REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 355–367 (2011).
 112. P. V. Afonine, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, N. W. Moriarty, M. Mustyakimov, T. C. Terwilliger, A. Urzhumtsev, P. H. Zwart, P. D. Adams, Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68**, 352–367 (2012).
 113. C. J. Williams, J. J. Headd, N. W. Moriarty, M. G. Prisant, L. L. Videau, L. N. Deis, V. Verma, D. A. Keedy, B. J. Hintze, V. B. Chen, S. Jain, S. M. Lewis, W. B. Arendall III, J. Snoeyink, P. D. Adams, S. C. Lovell, J. S. Richardson, D. C. Richardson, MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. *Protein Sci.* **27**, 293–315 (2018).
 114. K. Diederichs, P. A. Karplus, Better models by discarding data? *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **69**, 1215–1222 (2013).
 115. B. K. Ho, F. Gruswitz, HOLLOW: Generating accurate representations of channel and interior surfaces in molecular structures. *BMC Struct. Biol.* **8**, 49 (2008).
 116. M. A. Lomize, I. D. Pogozheva, H. Joo, H. I. Mosberg, A. L. Lomize, OPM database and PPM web server: Resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D370–D376 (2012).
 117. E. De Zitter, N. Coquelle, P. Oeser, T. R. M. Barends, J.-P. Colletier, Xtrapol8 enables automatic elucidation of low-occupancy intermediate-states in crystallographic studies. *Commun. Biol.* **5**, 640 (2022).
 118. D. W. Cruickshank, Remarks about protein structure precision. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **55**, 583–601 (1999).
 119. G. A. J. Jeffrey, G. A. Jeffrey, *An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding* (Oxford Univ. Press, 1997).
 120. P. A. Frey, *Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry* (Elsevier, 2004).
 121. D. von Stetten, T. Giraud, P. Carpentier, F. Sever, M. Terrien, F. Dobias, D. H. Juers, D. Flot, C. Mueller-Dieckmann, G. A. Leonard, D. de Sanctis, A. Royant, In crystallo optical spectroscopy (iCOS) as a complementary tool on the macromolecular crystallography beamlines of the ESRF. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **71**, 15–26 (2015).
 122. S. O. Smith, J. Lugtenburg, R. A. Mathies, Determination of retinal chromophore structure in bacteriorhodopsin with resonance Raman spectroscopy. *J. Membr. Biol.* **85**, 95–109 (1985).
 123. T. Kouyama, K. Ihara, Existence of two substates in the O intermediate of the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **1864**, 183998 (2022).
 124. J. Heberle, Proton transfer reactions across bacteriorhodopsin and along the membrane. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1458**, 135–147 (2000).
 125. H. Kandori, Hydration switch model for the proton transfer in the Schiff base region of bacteriorhodopsin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1658**, 72–79 (2004).
 126. Z. Cao, Y. Peng, T. Yan, S. Li, A. Li, G. A. Voth, Mechanism of fast proton transport along one-dimensional water chains confined in carbon nanotubes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 11395–11397 (2010).
 127. D. W. Deamer, Proton permeation of lipid bilayers. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **19**, 457–479 (1987).

Acknowledgments: We thank S. Siletsky (MSU) for assistance in the functional characterization of MAR. Crystallization trials were conducted at the High Throughput Crystallization Facility (HTX), EMBL Grenoble, France, and we would like to thank F. Dupeux for support. The diffraction experiments were performed on the beamlines ID23-1, ID30A-3, and ID30B at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France (proposals MX-2270 and MX-2330). We are grateful to local contacts at the ESRF, especially A. Popov and I. Melnikov, for aiding in using these beamlines. The synchrotron data for the MAR in the P593 state were collected at the P14 beamline operated by EMBL Hamburg at the PETRA III storage ring (DESY, Hamburg, Germany). We thank M. Agthe for help with the installation and

adjustment of the laser at the P14 beamline. We are grateful to D. von Stetten, A. Royant, and S. Engilberge for help recording UV-Vis absorption spectra in crystals at the iCOS Lab at the ESRF. We appreciate the support of the project by G. Büldt (MIPT) and G. Leonard (ESRF).

Funding: V.P. acknowledges support from the European Development Fund: Structural dynamics of biomolecular systems (ELIBIO) (CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/0000447). R.G. was supported by research grant 20-12496X from the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic. J.C. was supported by a grant from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (EQU202003010344). D.B. was supported by grant ANR-14-CE09-0028. V.G. was supported by grant ANR-19-CE11-0026. S.Bukhd. acknowledges a Ph.D. fellowship from the ESRF (2021–2022). The work used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct Centre (ISBG; UMS 3518 CNRS-CEA-UJF-EMBL) with support from FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-02) and GRAL (ANR-10-LABX-49-01) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). This work used the iCOS platform of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRS-CEA-UGA-EMBL) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB), supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005-02) and GRAL, financed within the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Ecoles Universitaires de Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). The work was partially supported by CEA(IFS)-HGF(FZJ) STC 5.1 specific agreement and grant 18-02-40020 from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR). A.M. was supported by MSHE RF (agreement 075-03-2025-662, project FSMG-2024-0012). Y.R. was supported by MSHE RF (agreement 075-03-2025-662, project FSMG-2025-0003). Crystallography data treatment was supported by MSHE RF (grant 075-15-2021-1354). The characterization of protein spectral kinetics and photocycles was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF), project 21-64-00018. **Author contributions:** F.R.-V. and R.G. discovered the gene and performed the phylogenetic analysis of MRhs. D.Z. performed the analysis of PRs and their closest homologs. V.S. expressed and purified MAR. K.K. helped with MAR expression. D.B. designed, expressed, and purified MAR-Arf1 double mutant. A.A., A.M., S.Bukha., Y.R., D.K., T.R., and Y.A. performed the proton-pumping assays. V.S., F.T., and I.C. measured and processed the photocycles. V.P. crystallized MAR at the pumping-inhibiting pH. S.Bukhd. crystallized MAR at the proton-pumping pH and MAR-Arf1 double mutant. R.A., C.S., and M.R. helped with crystallization. I.G. collected the diffraction data from the MAR crystals at pumping-inhibiting pH and solved and refined their structures. S.Bukhd. collected the diffraction data from the MAR crystals at proton-pumping pH, MAR-Arf1 double mutant crystals, and all the cryotrapped crystals and refined their structures. K.K. and G.B. helped with the diffraction data collection. V.S., V.P., I.G., and K.K. measured and analyzed the absorption spectra. S.Bukhd. and N.C. performed spectroscopy with the cryotrapped crystals. I.G. and V.S. prepared the original manuscript and proposed a concept of an alternating access switch in MAR. S.Bukhd. and K.K. reworked the manuscript, including crystallographic data at proton-pumping pH, and proposed a mechanism of proton pumping in MAR. V.B., E.R., A.R., M.Z., J.C., M.E., and E.B. helped with the data analysis. V.G. designed and supervised the project, proposed a mechanism of vectorial proton transfer, and prepared the corresponding part of the manuscript. All the authors reviewed and edited the final version of the manuscript. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** The structure factors and atomic coordinates have been deposited into the PDB with the accession codes 8RSO (MAR ground state), 7AVN (MAR M-like state), 8RSP (MAR P593 state), 8RSQ (MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} ground state), 8RSR (MAR-Arf1_{L8K,Q71L} N state), 8RSS (MAR O* state), 7AVP (MAR O state), 9G15 (MAR O* state, pH 8.8), and 9G16 (MAR O state, pH 8.8). For structural analysis, we used publicly available information from the PDB with the accession codes 4JQ6 (BPR ground state), 7B03, 8CQC, and 8CQD (GPR ground state), 4HYJ (ESR ground state), 3DDL (XR ground state), 6NWD (GR ground state), 7YTB and 8I2Z (Kin4B8 ground state), 7Z0A (HsBR ground state), 1X0I (HsBR acid blue), 7Z0D (HsBR L state), 7Z0E (HsBR M state), 6RPH (HsBR N state), 7ZMY (BcXeR ground state), 7ZN3 (BcXeR L state), and 7ZN0 (BcXeR M state). All other data needed to evaluate the conclusions in this paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

Submitted 11 November 2024

Accepted 11 March 2025

Published 16 April 2025

10.1126/sciadv.adu5303